



Scan to know paper details and  
author's profile

# Low Prevalence of Metazoan Parasites in Cage-cultured Palm Ruff *Seriolella violacea*

*Héctor Flores, María Fernanda Gómez & Héctor Basaure*

*Universidad Católica del Nort*

## INTRODUCTION

*Seriolella violacea* Guichenot, 1848 (Centrolophidae), commonly known as the palm ruff, is a commercially important endemic fish species in the Eastern South Pacific, the capture of which has significantly decreased in recent decades (Oliva, Parker, Miranda & Martínez 1996; Chirichingo & Velez 1998). This epipelagic resource is vital to small scale fishery activities and is one of the most consumed fish, by regional human populations. Providing alternative production methods and studying the factors that affect the health of this species are important for achieving its successful maintenance under reared conditions.

*Keywords:* NA

*Classification:* FOR Code: 049999

*Language:* English



London  
Journals Press

LJP Copyright ID: 925673  
Print ISSN: 2631-8490  
Online ISSN: 2631-8504

London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal

Volume 19 | Issue 8 | Compilation 1.0



© 2019 Héctor Flores, María Fernanda Gómez & Héctor Basaure. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 Unported License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), permitting all noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



# Low Prevalence of Metazoan Parasites in Cage-Cultured Palm Ruff *Seriolella Violacea*

Héctor Flores<sup>α</sup>, María Fernanda Gómez<sup>σ</sup>, Héctor Basaure<sup>ρ</sup>

**Author α:** Departamento de Acuicultura, Facultad de Ciencias del Mar, Universidad Católica del Norte, Chile.

**σ ρ:** Departamento de Biología Marina, Facultad de Ciencias del Mar, Universidad Católica del Norte, Chile.

**α:** Centro de Innovación Acuícola Aquapacífico, CORFO 15 PCTI-46284 Aquapacífico, Chile

**α:** Red CYTED LARVAplus

## I. INTRODUCTION

*Seriolella violacea* Guichenot, 1848 (Centrolophidae), commonly known as the palm ruff, is a commercially important endemic fish species in the Eastern South Pacific, the capture of which has significantly decreased in recent decades (Oliva, Parker, Miranda & Martínez 1996; Chirichingo & Velez 1998). This epipelagic resource is vital to small scale fishery activities and is one of the most consumed fish, by regional human populations. Providing alternative production methods and studying the factors that affect the health of this species are important for achieving its successful maintenance under reared conditions.

Close to 18 parasite species have been reported for *S. violacea* (Tantalean, 1974; Castro & Baeza 1985; Escalante & Miranda 1986; Luque, Iannacone & Farfán 1991; Avdeev 1992; Tantaleán & Huiza 1994; Ruelas & Córdova 1997a,b; Iannacone 2003; Valdebenito 2008). Several of these parasites can affect humans (Escalante & Miranda, 1986), mainly when *S. violacea* is consumed raw (Quijada, Lima & Avsalov 2005; Valdebenito 2008). Pathologies such as diphyllbothriasis and anisakiasis can develop, both of which are worldwide problems for human

populations (Ferre 2001; Ogawa 1996; Quijada *et al.* 2005).

Considering the health concerns triggered by the parasites that affect *S. violacea*, together with the lack of knowledge regarding the incidence of parasites in this fish species under reared conditions, the aim of this study was to determine the incidence of parasitic fauna (ecto-and endoparasites) in cultivated palm ruffs over one year in a cage culture system.

*Seriolella violacea* were obtained from broodstock maintained by the Universidad Católica del Norte that spontaneously spawn in the winter. *S. violacea* eggs, larvae, and juveniles were incubated for 10 months in circular, land-based tanks. Fish were fed with a formulated diet developed by BioMar from the first feeding. Once the fish reached a total approximate weight of 200 g, they were transferred to the sea (May 2012) where they were maintained for one year in a cage culture system in the Bay of La Herradura, Coquimbo, Chile until harvest (April 2013). Fish were kept at a culture density of 3 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, an average temperature of 15.5°C, and an oxygen concentration of 7.2 mg/L. During the harvest, 22 *S. violacea* individuals were collected and transported to the Zoology Laboratory of the Faculty of Marine Sciences at the Universidad Católica del Norte. The total weight, fork length, and total length were recorded for each fish. Following this, each sample was externally and internally examined for the presence of parasites following the methods described by Salgado (2007) and González, Vásquez, Farfán, Villalobos & Turis (2013).

To identify and quantify ectoparasites, the fins, the gill arches, and the buccal cavity were assessed

under a stereoscopic microscope. To identify endoparasites, the gonads, mesentery, and coelomic cavity were assessed under a stereoscopic microscope. The stomach and intestines were opened, and the gastrointestinal contents were collected in a seawater solution, filtered through a 278 µm sieve, and observed under a stereoscopic microscope. To analyze the muscle, a left- or right-side tissue sample was randomly selected and extracted. The extracted tissue was compressed between two slides (Salgado 2007) and analyzed under a stereoscopic microscope. To identify the collected parasites, the catalogs of Tantalean (1974) and Brusca (1981) were used.

Based on the collected, identified, and quantified parasites, the prevalence for each recorded parasite was determined. The collected parasites were stored in the Biological Collections Archive of the Faculty of Marine Sciences at the Universidad Católica del Norte under registries SCBUCN 4757 and 4758.

After one year in a cage culture system, fish had an average total weight of  $803.2 \pm 215.3$  g, fork length of  $33.7 \pm 3.4$  cm, and total length of  $36.6 \pm 3.8$  cm (Table 1). Next, the parasites found in the different tissues of palm ruffs were analyzed under a stereoscopic microscope. Two ectoparasite species were identified, each in a different host sample. No endoparasites were found.

One of the ectoparasites was the isopod *Ceratothoa gaudichaudii* (H. Milne Edwards, 1840), found in the buccal cavity of one fish. The other ectoparasite was *Paraeurysorchis sarmientoi* of the Monogenea class (Tantalean, 1974), found in the gill filaments (Table 2). Each of these parasites had a prevalence of 4.5%.

Low indexes of ectoparasite prevalence (4.5%) and no endoparasite prevalence were observed in juvenile *Serirolella violacea* cultivated for one year under a cage culture system. This recorded ectoparasite incidence is lower than the prevalence of *P. sarmientoi* found for wild fish in

Peru (14%) (Iannacone 2003) and Chile (80 and 45%; Antofagasta 23°38'S and Talcahuano 36°43'S, respectively) (Valdebenito 2008).

*Paraeurysorchis sarmientoi* belongs to the Monogenea (Platyhelminthes) class of flatworms and negatively affects economically important fish species worldwide (Sitjà-Bobadilla & Álvarez-Pellitero, 2009; Sitjà-Bobadilla, Redondo & Álvarez-Pellitero 2010). These high-risk parasites are the cause of epizootic diseases that, in turn, result in significant losses for the aquaculture industry (González *et al.* 2013). Specifically, Monogenea species damage gill lamellae, leading to hemorrhages and anemia, obstructed water flow, and decreased feed consumption by the affected host (Sitjà-Bobadilla & Álvarez-Pellitero, 2009; Sitjà-Bobadilla *et al.*, 2006). These parasites have a greater impact on cultures, where fish are kept at high densities and where Monogenea species can rapidly propagate and easily transfer between fish hosts (Thoney & Hargis Jr. 1991).

The other ectoparasite, *Ceratothoa gaudichaudii*, has not been reported in *S. violacea* in Peru, but in Chile, prevalence is 5% (Antofagasta) and 45% (Talcahuano) for wild fish (Valdebenito 2008). Infestation prevalence in Antofagasta (5%) is close to that reported for cultivated *S. violacea* in Coquimbo (4.5%). This seems to indicate that prevalence of this ectoparasite increases with latitude. The geographical distribution of *C. gaudichaudii* is extensive, reaching from the Gulf of California until Cape Horn. Related to this, *C. gaudichaudii* is frequently reported in the coastal fish populations of Ecuador, Peru, and Chile (Sievers, Lobos, Inostroza & Ernst 1997; Molina & Manrique 1996). In Chile, *C. gaudichaudii* has been reported in 12 fish species, both wild and cultivated (Muñoz & Olmos 2007). Moreover, this parasite affects a diverse range of pelagic species, including the Chilean jack mackerel (*Trachurus murphyi*), Pacific bonito (*Sarda chilensis*), and yellowtail kingfish (*S. lalandi*), in addition to coastal species such as the grape-eyed seabass (*Hemilutjanus macrophthalmus*) and Peruvian rock seabass (*Paralabrax humeralis*) (Jaramillo

1977; Molina & Manrique 1996; González *et al.* 2013). This parasite has also been reported in a number of cultured species, such as the Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* (Inostroza, Sievers, Roa & Aguirrebeña 1993, Sievers, Lobos & Inostroza 1997), Coho salmon *Oncorhynchus kisutch* (Bravo, 1987), and rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (González, Carvajal & Medina 1997). *Ceratothoa gaudichaudii* represents a high risk for the aquaculture industry as infestations can reduce the total weight of fish by 15%, translating into significant economic losses (Sievers *et al.* 1996). Altogether these data suggest a positive outcome for the cage culture system in Coquimbo, as specifically supported by the low parasite prevalence index observed for *S. violacea*.

In wild individuals of *S. violacea* from Chile, nine endoparasite species have been reported (Talcahuano 6 species; Antofagasta 7 species; and 4 species for both locations). Prevalence rates of these endoparasites vary from 5 to 100% for the different reported species (Valdebenito 2008). The lack of endoparasites in cultivated *S. violacea* is probably the result of fish feeding on a formulated diet instead of natural resources, which is the principal entry path of distinct endoparasitic species. This is a favorable observation in terms of food safety, providing a guarantee of health for the consumer. Moreover, cultivated *S. violacea* could be consumed raw with a lower risk of ingesting parasite larvae that could provoke diphyllbothriasis or anisakiasis, as occurs with wild fish. The prevalence of parasite infestation in *S. violacea* using a cage culture system is low (4.5%), with a single *C. gaudichaudii* found in the buccal cavity and a single *P. sarmientoi* found in the gill filaments. No endoparasites were observed. This represents the first parasitological study in cultivated palm ruffs and provides relevant parasitological information for this commercially important fish. The results of this study also indicate that cultured *S. violacea* could be a safer option for human consumption, with a decreased risk for contracting diseases such as diphyllbothriasis or anisakiasis. Further epidemiological studies assessing the effects of

cultivated palm ruffs on human health would provide important insight regarding this subject.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the financial supported awarded by the Scientific and Technological Development Support Fund (*Fondo de Fomento al Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico*, FONDEF) under the project “Desarrollo de una tecnología base de cultivo para la producción de cojinoba del norte (*Seriolella violacea*). 2da Parte. Producción de juveniles y engorda en jaulas (Do8I1119).”

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. Avdeev, V.V. (1992) The possible use of parasitic isopods as bioindicators of horse mackerel migration paths in the Pacific. *Zool. ZHURNAL* 71, 58–65.
2. Bravo, S. (1987) Registro de parásitos detectados en salmónidos de cultivo en la X Región. Laboratorio Pfizer Chile.
3. Brusca, R.C. (1981) A monograph on the Isopoda Cymothoidae (Crustacea) of the Eastern Pacific. *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* 73, 117–199.
4. Castro, R. & Baeza, H. (1985) *Lernanthropus antofagastensis*, new species (Copepoda: Lernanthropidae) parasitic on *Anisotremus scapularis* in Chilean waters, and new records of *Lernanthropus trachuri*. *J. Nat. Hist.* 19, 407–414.
5. Chirichigno, N.F. & Vélez J.D. (1998) Clave para identificar los peces marinos del Perú (Segunda edición, revisada y actualizada). Instituto del Mar del Perú, Publicación especial. 496 p.
6. Escalante, H. & Miranda, H. (1986) *Diphyllbothrium pacificum*: Hallazgo de larva pleurocercoides en peces marinos del Perú y desarrollo de formas adultas del

- parásito en *Canis familiaris*. Bol Chil Parasitol 41, 7–12.
7. Ferre, I. (2001) Anisakiosis y otras zoonosis parasitarias transmitidas por consumo de pescado. Rev. Aquat. 14.
  8. González, L., Carvajal, J. & Medina, A. (1997) Susceptibilidad comparativa de trucha arco iris y salmón coho a ectoparásitos de importancia económica. Arch. Med. Vet. 29, 127–132.
  9. González, M., Vásquez, F., Farfán, Z., Villalobos, D. & Turis, P. (2013) Parásitos potencialmente patógenos en cultivo de Dorado (*Seriola lalandi*) en la macro-zona Norte de Chile, 2nd ed. Laboratorio de Eco-Parasitología y Epidemiología Marina, Antofagasta, Chile.
  10. Iannacone, J. (2003) Tres metazoos parásitos de la Cojinoba *Seriola violacea* Guichenot (Pisces, Centrolophidae), Callao, Perú. Rev. Bras. Zool. 20, 257–260.
  11. Inostroza, R., Sievers, R., Roa, J. & Aguirrebeña, R. (1993) Prevalencia e intensidad de infección estacional por *Ceratomyxa gaudichaudii* en salmones (*Salmo salar*) cultivados en agua de mar en el sur de Chile, in: Contreras, P., Gallo, C., Valenzuela, G. (Eds.), Archivos de Medicina Veterinaria. Universidad Austral de Chile, 173-179, pp. 173–179.
  12. Jaramillo, E. (1977) Nuevos huéspedes y distribución geográfica de *Meinertia gaudichaudii* (Milne-Edwards, 1840). (Isopoda Cymothoidae). Medio Ambiente 3, 132–134.
  13. Luque, J.L., Iannacone, J. & Farfán, C. (1991) Parásitos de peces óseos marinos en el Perú: lista de especies conocidas. Boletín de Lima (Perú). Bol. Lima 74, 17–28.
  14. Molina, R.E. & Manrique, F.A. (1996) Range extension for *Ceratomyxa gaudichaudii* (Isopoda, Cymothoidae) in the Eastern Tropical Pacific. Bull. Mar. Sci. 58, 602–603.
  15. Muñoz, G. & Olmos, V. (2007) Revisión bibliográfica de especies ectoparásitas y hospedadoras de sistemas acuáticos de Chile. Rev. Biol. Mar. Oceanogr. 42, 89–148.
  16. Ogawa, K. (1996) Marine parasitology with special reference to Japanese fisheries and mariculture. Vet. Parasitol., Control of Parasitic Diseases, Food Safety and the Environment 64, 95–105.
  17. Oliva, J.L., Parker, U.P., Miranda, H.P. & Martínez, C.F. (1996) Evaluación de la Pesquería y del Stock de Cojinova del Norte (I y II Regiones). Fondo Investig. Pesq. Inf. Téc. FIP 94–26.
  18. Quijada, J., Lima, C. & Avdalov, N. (2005) Enfermedades parasitarias por consumo de pescado. Incidencia en América Latina. Infopesca Int. 24, 16–23.
  19. Ruelas, N. & Córdova, E. (1997a) Estudio de monogéneos parásitos de peces marinos del sur del Perú. Bol. Peru. Parasitol. 12.
  20. Ruelas, N. & Córdova, E. (1997b) Estudio de los trematodos de la Región Sur del Perú. Bol. Peru. Parasitol. 12.
  21. Salgado, G. (2007) Manual de prácticas de Parasitología con énfasis en helmintos parásitos de peces de agua dulce y otros animales silvestres de México. Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.
  22. Sievers, G., Lobos, C. & Inostroza, R. (1997) Variación de la intensidad de infestación con formas infectantes del isópodo *Ceratomyxa gaudichaudii* en salmones de cultivo del Sur de Chile. Arch. Med. Vet. 29, 121–125.
  23. Sievers, G., Lobos, C., Inostroza, R. & Ernst, S. (1996) The effect of the isopod parasite *Ceratomyxa gaudichaudii*, on the body weight of farmed *Salmo salar* in Southern Chile. Aquaculture 143, 1–6.
  24. Sitjà-Bobadilla, A. & Álvarez-Pellitero, P. (2009) Experimental transmission of *Sparicotyle chrysophrii* (Monogenea: Polyopisthocotylea) to gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) and histopathology of the infection. Folia Parasitol. 56(2): 143–151
  25. Sitjà-Bobadilla, A., Redondo, M.J. & Álvarez-Pellitero, P. (2009) Occurrence of *Sparicotyle chrysophrii* (Monogenea: Polyopisthocotylea) in gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata* L.) from different mariculture

- systems in Spain. *Aquaculture Research*, 2010, 41, 939-944.
26. Sitjà-Bobadilla, A., de Felipe, M.C. & Alvarez-Pellitero, P. (2006) In vivo and in vitro treatments against *Sparicotyle chrysophræi* (Monogenea: Microcotylidae) parasitizing the gills of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata* L.). *Aquaculture* 261, 856–864.
  27. Tantaleán, M. & Huiza, A. (1994) Sinopsis de los parásitos de peces marinos de la costa peruana. *Biotempo* 1, 53–101.
  28. Tantalean, M.V. (1974) *Pseudoeurysorchis sarmientoi* n. g., n. sp. (Monogenea: Diclidophoridae) parásito de pez comercial del mar peruano. *Rev. Bras. Biol.* 34, 253–258.
  29. Thoney, D.A. & Hargis Jr., W.J. (1991) Monogenea (Platyhelminthes) as hazards for fish in confinement. *Annu. Rev. Fish Dis.* 1, 133–153.
  30. Valdebenito, V. (2008) Infracomunidades de Parásitos Metazoos de *Seriolella violacea* (Guichenot, 1848) (Centrolophidae) en Chile: Importancia del Cestodo *Neobothriocephalus aspinosus* Mateo & Bullock, 1966 (Parabothriocephalidae) (Undergraduate thesis). Universidad Católica de la Santísima Concepción, Concepción, Chile.

*This page is intentionally left blank*