



Scan to know paper details and
author's profile

On Sufficient Conditions for Absolute Convergence of Fourier Series of Almost-Periodic Bezikovich Functions

Farkhodzhon Makhmadshevich Talbakov

Tajik State Pedagogical University

ABSTRACT

The paper investigates sufficient conditions for the absolute convergence of trigonometric Fourier series of almost-periodic functions in the sense of Bezikovich in the case when the Fourier exponents have a single limiting point at infinity. A higher-order continuity module is used as a structural characteristic of the function under consideration.

Keywords: almost-periodic Bezikovich functions, Fourier series, function spectrum, Fourier coefficients, Fourier exponents, modulus of continuity, trigonometric polynomials.

Classification: LCC Code: QA404.5

Language: English



Great Britain
Journals Press

LJP Copyright ID: 9256013
Print ISSN: 2631-8490
Online ISSN: 2631-8504

London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal

Volume 24 | Issue 2 | Compilation 1.0



On Sufficient Conditions for Absolute Convergence of Fourier Series of Almost-Periodic Bezikovich Functions

Farkhodzhon Makhmadshevich Talbakov

ABSTRACT

The paper investigates sufficient conditions for the absolute convergence of trigonometric Fourier series of almost-periodic functions in the sense of Bezikovich in the case when the Fourier exponents have a single limiting point at infinity. A higher-order continuity module is used as a structural characteristic of the function under consideration.

Keywords: almost-periodic Bezikovich functions, Fourier series, function spectrum, Fourier coefficients, Fourier exponents, modulus of continuity, trigonometric polynomials.

Author: Tajik State Pedagogical University named after S.Aenya, 121 Rudaki str, Dushanbe 734003, Tajikistan.

I. INTRODUCTION

Let B_p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) be a linear space consisting of measurable functions $f(x)$ for which $|f(x)|^p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) is Lebesgue integrable on any finite segment of the real axis with norm

$$\|f\|_{B_p} = \{\overline{M}[|f(x)|^p]\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left\{ \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-T}^T |f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

$$\|f\|_{B_\infty} = \text{vrai} \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |f(x)| < \infty.$$

At $1 \leq p < \infty$, A. Bezikovich [1] or [2], introduced the following concept of B_p -almost-periodic function.

Definition 1. A function $f(x)$ is called almost-periodic in the sense of Bezikovich or B_p -almost-periodic if there exists a sequence of finite trigonometric polynomials $\{P_n(x)\}$ of the form

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n A_k(f) e^{i\lambda_k x},$$

for which the following condition holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f(x) - P_n(x)\|_{B_p} = 0.$$

For each $f \in B_p$, a function is defined

$$a(f, \lambda) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T f(x) e^{-i\lambda x} dx = M\{f(x) e^{-i\lambda x}\}.$$

It can differ from zero no more than on the countable set of values of $\lambda: \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \dots$. The numbers $\{\lambda_k\}$ are called exponents Fourier transform or the spectrum of the function in question, and the numbers $A_k(f) = a(f, \lambda_k)$ - Fourier coefficients. Thus, each function $f \in B_p$ can be written a Fourier series

$$f(x) \sim \sum_k A_k(f) e^{i\lambda_k x}.$$

The space B_∞ of uniform almost-periodic functions denote B (see, for example, [3], [4]).

Denote by $\Delta_t^m f(x)$ the finite difference of the m th order of the function $f \in B_p$ at the point x with a step of t , i.e.

$$\Delta_t^m f(x) = \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^{m-r} \binom{m}{r} f(x + rt).$$

To determine the smoothness of the function, the quality of the structural characteristic of the function $f \in B_p, p \geq 1$, we will use the continuity module of the order k

$$\omega_m(f, h)_{B_p} = \sup_{|t| \leq h} \|\Delta_t^m f(x)\|_{B_p}, \quad h > 0, m \in N.$$

Let Π be any partition $-T = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = T$ of the function $f(x)$ of interval $(-T, T)$. Given $r \geq 1, T > 0$ and a positive m , we write

$$V_{r,T}^m(f) = \left[\sup_{r=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_{h_r}^m f(x_r)|^r \right]^{1/r},$$

where $m \in N, h_r = \frac{x_{r+1} - x_r}{m}$. Then we call the value

$$V_r^m(f) = \overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} V_{r,T}^m(f)$$

r -the variation of function of order m .

In [9]-[17] and others, some necessary and sufficient conditions for the absolute convergence of the Fourier series of almost-periodic functions in the sense of Bohr and Bezikovich were obtained.

J. Museliak [10] showed that if the spectrum $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$ and $k^\alpha = O(\lambda_k), k \rightarrow \infty, \alpha > 0$, then for the function $f \in B_2$ the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\frac{1-\beta}{\alpha-1}} \omega_1^\beta(f; \frac{1}{k})_{B_2} < \infty, \quad (1)$$

at $0 < \beta < 2$, entails the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_n(f)|^\beta. \quad (2)$$

N.P. Kuptsov [11] showed that for functions $f \in B$, condition (1) for $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 1$ and replacing the value $\omega_1(f, \frac{1}{k})_{B_2}$ by $\omega_2(f, \frac{1}{k})_B$ provides absolute convergence of the series (2).

In the work of A.G. Pritula [12] it is proved that if for $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$, $0 < \beta < q$, $2 \leq q < \infty$ ($\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$), $\gamma > 0$ the condition is met

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_{2^\nu}}{\lambda_{2^{\nu-1}}}\right)^\beta \omega_1^\beta\left(f, \frac{1}{\lambda_{2^\nu}}\right)_{B_p} 2^{\nu(\gamma + \frac{q-\beta}{q})} < \infty,$$

that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |A_k|^\beta k^\beta < \infty.$$

In the case when $f(x) \in B_p$, $1 < p \leq 2$, $\lambda_k \rightarrow 0$, A. S. Jafarova and G. A. Mammadova [13] established the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |A_k|^\beta \varphi(k),$$

with some restrictions on the functions $\varphi(k)$. Instead of the continuity modulus, they used the following value based on the Laplace transform

$$\Omega(f; H; \delta; \theta) = \delta \sup_x \left| \int_0^\infty \exp(-\delta\theta) f(x-t) \exp(i\theta t) dt \right|, \quad \delta > 0, \theta \in R.$$

In the work of Yu.K. Khasanov [14], some sufficient, and in the case of monotonous decrease of the Fourier coefficients, the necessary conditions for the absolute convergence of the Fourier series of almost-periodic Bezikovich functions are established when the Fourier exponents have a single limiting point at infinity or at zero.

The results of this note are analogs of some results of [10], [14] and [15] for the class of almost-periodic Bezikovich functions.

0.1 Main results

1.1. The note discusses some new sufficient conditions for the absolute convergence of Fourier series of almost-periodic functions from the space B_2 when the spectrum $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has a single limit point at infinity, i.e.

$$\lambda_0 = 0; \quad \lambda_{-k} = -\lambda_k; \quad |\lambda_k| < |\lambda_{k+1}|; \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k = \infty.$$

It is well known that for an arbitrary function $f \in B_2$ having a Fourier series expansion

$$f(x) \sim \frac{a_0(f)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k(f) \cos \lambda_k x + b_k(f) \sin \lambda_k x), \tag{3}$$

где

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(f) &= M\{f(x)\}, \\ a_k(f) &= M\{f(x) \cos \lambda_k x\}, \\ b_k(f) &= M\{f(x) \sin \lambda_k x\} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots), \\ M\{g(x)\} &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T g(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We prove the following theorem concerning the absolute convergence of series (4) with known coefficients (see, for example, [3], [10-15]).

Theorem 1. *Let $f(x) \in b_2$ is a limited function. Suppose that the function $\Phi(u)$ is non-decreasing such that $\Phi(u) > 0$ if $u > 0$ and $u^2/(\Phi(u))$ is also a non-decreasing function. If at $0 < \beta < 1$ is executed*

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} [\mu(2^\nu \pi) - \mu(2^{\nu-1} \pi) + 1]^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} \omega^\beta(f, 2^{-\nu}) \omega_{\Phi}^{\beta/2}(f, 2^{-\nu}) \Phi^{-\beta/2}[\omega(f, 2^{-\nu})] < \infty, \tag{4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(f, h) &= \text{vrai sup}_x \sup_{|\delta| \leq h} |f(x + \delta) - f(x)|, \\ \omega_{\Phi}(f, h) &= \sup_{|\delta| \leq h} \overline{M}\{|f(x + \delta) - f(x)|\}, \end{aligned}$$

that row

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|a_k(f)|^\beta + |b_k(f)|^\beta) \tag{5}$$

it fits.

Proof We first prove an important inequality

$$\sum_{n \in A_\nu} (|a_k(f)|^2 + |b_k(f)|^2) \leq \frac{1}{2} M\{|f(x + 2^{-\nu-1}) - f(x - 2^{-\nu-1})|^2\}, \quad (6)$$

where $A_\nu = E_k\{2^{-\nu-1}\pi \leq \lambda_k \leq 2^{-\nu}\pi\}$, $\nu \geq 1$.

For any $h \geq 0$, consider the function

$$F_h(x) = f(x + h) - f(x - h).$$

The coefficients of the Fourier function $F_h(x)$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(F_h) &= M\{F_h(x)\} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T [f(x + h) - f(x - h)] dx = \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T [f(x) - f(x)] dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_k(F_h) &= M\{F_h(x) \cos \lambda_k x\} = M\{[f(x + h) - f(x - h)] \cos \lambda_k x\} = \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T+h}^{T+h} f(t) \cos \lambda_k(t - h) dt - \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T-h}^{T-h} f(t) \cos \lambda_k(t + h) dt = \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T f(t + h) [\cos \lambda_k t \cos \lambda_k h + \sin \lambda_k t \sin \lambda_k h] dt - \\ &\quad - \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T f(t - h) [\cos \lambda_k t \cos \lambda_k h - \sin \lambda_k t \sin \lambda_k h] dt = \\ &= 2 \sin \lambda_k h \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T f(t) \sin \lambda_k t dt = \\ &= 2 \sin \lambda_k h M\{f(t) \sin \lambda_k t\} dt = 2b_k \sin \lambda_k h. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have repeating these calculations for the coefficients $b_k(F_h)$, we find

$$b_k(F_h) = -2a_k \sin \lambda_k h.$$

Then by virtue of Bessel 's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|a_k(f)|^2 + |b_k(f)|^2) \sin^2 \lambda_k h &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|a_k(f) \sin \lambda_k h|^2 + |b_k(f) \sin \lambda_k h|^2) = \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|2a_k(f) \sin \lambda_k h|^2 + |2b_k(f) \sin \lambda_k h|^2) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|a_n(F_h)|^2 + |b_k(F_h)|^2) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} M \{ |f(x+h) - f(x-h)|^2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

For $k \in A_\nu$, consider

$$2^{\nu-1} \pi h \leq \lambda_k h < 2^\nu \pi h.$$

Let's put $h = 2^{-\nu-1}$ and from the latter we get

$$2^{\nu-1} \pi 2^{-\nu-1} \leq \lambda_k h < 2^\nu \pi 2^{-\nu-1}$$

or

$$\frac{\pi}{4} \leq \lambda_k h < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Hence,

$$\sin^2 \lambda_k 2^{-\nu-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence, after using a number of calculations, the inequality (6) follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in A_\nu} (|a_k(f)|^2 + |b_k(f)|^2) &\leq 2 \sum_{k \in A_\nu} (|a_k(f)|^2 + |b_k(f)|^2) \sin^2 \lambda_k 2^{-\nu-1} \leq \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|a_k(f)|^2 + |b_k(f)|^2) \sin^2 \lambda_k 2^{-\nu-1} \leq \frac{1}{2} M |f(x + 2^{-\nu-1}) - f(x - 2^{-\nu-1})|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we denote by $\varphi(u)$ the function $\frac{u^2}{\Phi(u)}$, which is non-decreasing. Since the function $f(x)$ is bounded, then $\omega(f, 2^{-\nu}) < \infty$. So, if $\Phi(u) \equiv u^2$, then $\varphi(u) \equiv 1$ and the assumption of the limitation of the function $f(x)$ will be superfluous.

Multiplying and dividing the right part (6) by the function $\Phi[\omega(f, 2^{-\nu})]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in A_\nu} (|a_k(f)|^2 + |b_k(f)|^2) &\leq \frac{1}{2} M \{ |f(x + 2^{-\nu-1}) - f(x - 2^{-\nu-1})|^2 \} \frac{\Phi[\omega(f, 2^{-\nu})]}{\Phi[\omega(f, 2^{-\nu})]} \leq \\ &\leq 2^{-1} \omega^2(f, 2^{-\nu}) \Phi^{-1}[\omega(f, 2^{-\nu})] \overline{M} \{ \Phi[\omega(f, 2^{-\nu})] \} = 2^{-1} \omega^2(f, 2^{-\nu}) \omega_\Phi(f, 2^{-\nu}) \Phi^{-1}[\omega(2^{-\nu})]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $m(A_\nu)$ be a measure of sets in A_ν . Then using the Helder inequality from the last inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in A_\nu} (|a_k(f)|^2 + |b_k(f)|^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} &\leq [m(A_\nu)]^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} \left[\sum_{k \in A_\nu} (|a_k(f)|^2 + |b_k(f)|^2) \right]^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \leq \\ &\leq [m(A_\nu)]^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} [2^{-1}\omega^2(f, 2^{-\nu})\omega_\Phi(f, 2^{-\nu})\Phi^{-1}[\omega(f, 2^{-\nu})]]^{\frac{\beta}{2}} = \\ &= 2^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} [m(A_\nu)]^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} \omega^\beta(f, 2^{-\nu})\omega_\Phi^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(f, 2^{-\nu})\Phi^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}[\omega(f, 2^{-\nu})] \leq \\ &\leq 2^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} [\mu(2^\nu\pi) - \mu(2^{\nu-1}\pi) + 1]^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} \omega^\beta(f, 2^{-\nu})\omega_\Phi^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(f, 2^{-\nu})\Phi^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}[\omega(f, 2^{-\nu})]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

So for

$$k_0 = \min_{\lambda_k \geq \pi} k$$

from inequality (7) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} (|a_k(f)|^2 + |b_k(f)|^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} &\leq \\ &\leq 2^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} [\mu(2^\nu\pi) - \mu(2^{\nu-1}\pi) + 1]^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} \omega^\beta(f, 2^{-\nu})\omega_\Phi^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(f, 2^{-\nu})\Phi^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}[\omega(f, 2^{-\nu})]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By virtue of condition (4), the series (5) converges. Theorem 1 is proved. \square

Theorem 2. *Let the function $f(x) \in B_2$. If at $0 < \beta < 2$ the condition is met*

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} [\mu(2^\nu\pi) - \mu(2^{\nu-1}\pi) + 1]^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} \omega_2^\beta(f, 2^{-\nu}) < \infty,$$

where

$$\omega_2(f, h) = [\sup_{|\delta| \leq h} M\{|f(x + \delta) - f(x)|^2\}]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

then row (5) converges.

Proof We write inequality (6) in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in A_\nu} (|a_k(f)|^2 + |b_k(f)|^2) &\leq \frac{1}{2} M\{|f(x + 2^{-\nu-1}) - f(x - 2^{-\nu-1})|^2\} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{|\delta| \leq 2^{-\nu}} M\{|f(x + \delta) - f(x)|^2\} = \frac{1}{2} \omega(f, 2^{-\nu}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the inequality (7), we will have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in A_\nu} (|a_k(f)|^2 + |b_k(f)|^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} &\leq 2^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} [\mu(2^\nu\pi) - \mu(2^{\nu-1}\pi) + 1]^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} [\omega(f, 2^{-\nu})]^{\frac{\beta}{2}} = \\ &= 2^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} [\mu(2^\nu\pi) - \mu(2^{\nu-1}\pi) + 1]^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} \omega_2^\beta(f, 2^{-\nu}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the conditions of the theorem, it follows from the latter that it follows that

$$\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} (|a_k(f)|^2 + |b_k(f)|^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \leq 2^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} [\mu(2^\nu \pi) - \mu(2^{\nu-1} \pi) + 1]^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} \omega_2^\beta(f, 2^{-\nu}) < \infty.$$

Theorem 2 is proved. □

In the future, we will need the following auxiliary statement.

Lemma 1. *If for a non-decreasing function $\Phi(u) \geq 0$ at $u \geq 0$*

$$V_{\phi,T}(f) = \sup_{\Pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \phi[|f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})|]_{B_2},$$

where Π is an arbitrary division of the interval $(-T; T)$ by the points x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N and

$$V_\phi(f) = \overline{M}\{V_{\phi,T}(f)\} = \overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T V_{\phi,T}(f) dx,$$

then for any $h > 0$ the following estimate is valid

$$\overline{M}\{\Phi[f(x+h) - f(x-h)]\} \leq 2hV_\Phi(f). \tag{9}$$

Proof Let $V_\Phi(f) < \infty$. Suppose that for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists such a number T_0 that for every $T > T_0$ the inequality holds

$$V_{\Phi,T+3h}(f) \leq 2[V_\Phi(f) + \varepsilon](T + 3h). \tag{10}$$

Indeed, by definition of the upper limit

$$\frac{1}{2(T + 3h)} V_{\Phi,T+3h}(f) \leq V_\Phi(f) + \varepsilon,$$

hence the inequality (10).

For a fixed $T > T_0$, we define such an interval $(-T-h, T-h)$ in which the points x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n will be

$$x_k - x_{k-1} = 2h \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

$$x_k - x_{k-1} \geq 2h \quad (k = n).$$

Then, given the values of $x_k - x_{k-1}$, for up to the limiting average value of the function $\phi[|f(x+h) - f(x-h)|]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \Phi[|f(x+h) - f(x-h)|] dx &= \frac{1}{2T} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} \Phi[|f(x+h) - f(x-h)|] dx = \\
 &= \frac{1}{2T} \int_0^{2h} \sum_{k=1}^n \Phi[|f(x_k+t) - f(x_{k-1}+t)|] dt + \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2T} \int_0^{x_k-x_{k-1}} \Phi[|f(x_k+t+2h) - f(x_{k-1}+t)|] dt \leq \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2T} \int_0^{2h} \sup_{x_k \in [-T+3h, T+3h]} \sum_{k=1}^n \Phi[|f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})|] dt = \\
 &= \frac{1}{2T} \int_0^{2h} V_{\phi, T+h+3h}(f) dt = \frac{1}{2T} V_{\Phi, T+3h} \int_0^{2h} dt = \\
 &= \frac{1}{2T} \int_0^{2h} V_{\phi, T+h+3h}(f) dt = \frac{h}{T} V_{\Phi, T+3h} \leq \\
 &\frac{2h}{T} [V_{\phi}(f) + \varepsilon][T + 3h] = (2h + \frac{6h^2}{T})(V_{\Phi}(f) + \varepsilon),
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, at $T \rightarrow \infty$, going to the limit, we get an estimate (9), which implies the validity of Lemma 1. □

Theorem 3. *Let $f(x) \in B_2$ and a non-decreasing function $\Phi(u)$ is given such that $\Phi(u) > 0$ and for $u > 0$, $\Phi(0) \geq 0$. If $V_{\Phi}(f) < \infty$ at $0 < \beta < 2$ and the condition is met*

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} [\mu(2^{\nu}\pi) - \mu(2^{\nu-1}\pi) + 1]^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} 2^{-\frac{\beta\nu}{2}} \omega^{\beta}(f, 2^{-\nu}) \Phi^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}[\omega(f, 2^{-\nu})] < \infty, \tag{11}$$

then the series (5) converges.

Proof The theorem is proved using Theorem 1 and Lemma 1. Indeed, since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega_{\Phi}(f, 2^{-\nu}) &= \sup_{|\delta| \leq 2^{-\nu}} \overline{M}\{\Phi[|f(x+\delta) - f(x)|]\} \leq \\
 &\leq 2hV_{\phi}(f) = \sup_{|\delta| \leq 2^{-\nu}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \phi[|f(x+2^{-\nu}) - f(x)|] \leq 2^{-\nu},
 \end{aligned}$$

then substituting $2^{-\nu}$ instead of $\omega_{\Phi}^{\frac{\beta}{2}}(f, 2^{-\nu})$ into inequality (4), we get inequality (8), which proves theorem 3. □

In the future, we will establish the convergence condition of series (5) for the existence of the spectrum $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. In this case, we need to prove the following

Lemma 2. *If a_1, a_2, \dots are positive numbers, then for any χ series*

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} 2^{\chi\nu} a_{2^\nu}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\chi-1} a_k,$$

either converge or diverge at the same time.

Note that this lemma is implicitly contained in [10] (see page 13).

Indeed, due to the monotony of a_k , for any ν we have

$$2^{\chi\nu} a_{2^\nu} \leq 2^{|\chi|+1} \sum_{k=2^{\nu-1}+1}^{2^\nu} k^{\chi-1} a_k \leq 2^{2|\chi|+1} 2^{\chi(\nu-1)} a_{2^{\nu-1}},$$

and from here

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\chi-1} a_k = a_1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=2^{\nu-1}+1}^{2^\nu} s^{\chi-1} a_s.$$

Lemma 3. *If $a_k \downarrow 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = +\infty$, then the flat $\Delta a_k = a_k - a_{k+1}$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \Delta a_k = +\infty.$$

Let 's put

$$k^{\chi-1} a_k = \sum_{\nu=1}^k \nu \Delta a_\nu.$$

By virtue of $a_k \downarrow 0$ we have $\Delta a_\nu \geq 0$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$). So all $k^{\chi-1} a_k \geq 0$ and does not decrease monotonically. We need to prove that $k^{\chi-1} a_k \rightarrow \infty$. If this were not true, then

$$k^{\chi-1} a_k \uparrow a \quad (a \neq +\infty).$$

Then $k^{\chi-1} a_k = a - \varepsilon_k$, $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$, and hence since

$$k^{\chi-1} a_k - (k-1)^{\chi-1} a_{k-1} = k \Delta a_k = (a - \varepsilon_k) - (a - \varepsilon_{k-1}) = \Delta \varepsilon_{k-1},$$

that

$$\Delta a_k = \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_{k-1}}{k}.$$

By virtue of $a_k \rightarrow 0$ and $\Delta \varepsilon_\nu \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \Delta a_\nu = \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_{\nu-1}}{\nu} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \Delta \varepsilon_{\nu-1} = \frac{\varepsilon_{k-1}}{k}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $ka_k \rightarrow 0$

But, applying to the sum representing $k^{\chi-1}a_k$, the Abel transform, we find

$$k^{\chi-1}a_k = \sum_{\nu=1}^k \nu \Delta a_\nu = \sum_{\nu=1}^{k+1} a_\nu = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k+1},$$

and since $k^{\chi-1}a_k \rightarrow a$, and $ka_k \rightarrow 0$, then $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k+1} \rightarrow 0$, which contradicts the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = +\infty.$$

Let $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\chi-1}a_k$ be a convergent series with $k^{\chi-1}a_k \downarrow 0$. We believe

$$r_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\chi-1}a_k.$$

We will say that a series satisfies condition (A) if

$$r_n = O(k^{\chi-1}a_k). \tag{12}$$

If the terms of the series decrease no slower than some geometric progression, that is, if

$$(k+1)^{\chi-1}a_{k+1} < \theta k^{\chi-1}a_k, \quad 0 < \theta < 1,$$

then it satisfies condition (A), but the reverse conclusion is, of course, incorrect, as at least such an example shows

$$(2k-1)^{\chi-1}a_{2k-1} - (2k)^{\chi-1}a_{2k} = \theta^k, \quad k \in N; \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

Hence

$$\mu(2^\nu \pi) - \mu(2^{\nu-1} \pi) \leq \mu(2^\nu \pi) + 1 = O\{2^{\frac{\nu}{\rho}}\},$$

and condition (4) can be replaced by the condition

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{(1-\beta)\nu}{\rho}} \omega^\beta(f, 2^{-\nu}) \omega_{\Phi}^{\beta/2}(f, 2^{-\nu}) \Phi^{-\beta/2}[\omega(f, 2^{-\nu})] < \infty,$$

или согласно леммы 2

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} k^{\frac{(1-\beta)\nu}{\rho}-1} \omega^\beta(f, k^{-1}) \omega_{\Phi}^{\beta/2}(f, k^{-1}) \Phi^{-\beta/2}[\omega(f, k^{-1})] < \infty.$$

The statement of Lemma 2 is obtained from the fact that the function $\frac{u^2}{\Phi(u)}$ is non-decreasing. By virtue of Theorem 2, the following holds.

Theorem 4. *Let $k^\rho = O(\lambda_k)$ when $\rho > 0$. And let for the function $f \in B_2$ at $0 < \beta < 2$ takes place*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\frac{1-\beta}{\rho}-1} \omega_2^\beta(f, k^{-1}) < \infty, \tag{15}$$

where $\omega_2(f, h) = [\sup_{|\delta| \leq h} M\{|f(x+\delta) - f(x)|^2\}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then the series (7) converges.

For $\rho = 1$ and $\omega(h) = O\{h^\alpha\}$, the result is obtained in $\beta > \frac{2}{2+\alpha(2-r)}$ (see [8], p. 137), and for $\rho = \beta = 1$ we get Bernstein (see [7], p. 231)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega_2(f, k^{-1}) < \infty.$$

Now we denote $V_r(f) = [V_\Phi(f)]^{1/r}$ for $\Phi(u) = u^r$. The value of $V_r(f)$ is called r -a variation of the function $f(x)$.

According to Theorem 3 and Lemma 2, the following statement holds

Theorem 5. *Let $k^\rho = O(\lambda_k)$ when $\rho > 0$. If at $0 < r \leq 2$ the function $f \in B_2$ has a finite r -variation, besides at $0 < \beta < 2$ exists*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\frac{1-\beta}{\rho}-\frac{\beta}{2}-1} \omega_n^{\beta(1-\frac{r}{2})}(f, k^{-1}) < \infty,$$

then the series (5) converges.

In the case when $\rho = 1$ and $\omega(h) = O\{h^\alpha\}$ we get $\beta > \frac{2}{2+\alpha(2-r)}$, which for $r = 1$ obtained by Varashkevich and Zygmunde (see [8], p. 138). For $\rho = \beta = 1$, we obtain the following statement about the absolute convergence of the series (3).

Theorem 6. Let $f \in B_2$ and $k^\rho = O(\lambda_k)$ when $\rho > 0$. Suppose that $\omega(h) = O\{h^\alpha\}$ and $V_r(f) < \infty$ for $\alpha > 0$, $0 < r \leq 2$. Then the series (3) absolutely converges.

This theorem for $r = 1$ was obtained by Sigmund. If in Theorem 5 $\rho = \beta = r = 1$ we obtain the known condition of absolute convergence of series (3) ([7], p. 231)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sqrt{\omega(f, k^{-1})} < \infty,$$

which generalizes Sigmund's result.

1.3. Definition 3. A sequence of natural numbers

$$n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$$

are called lacunar if there exists such a $q > 1$ that

$$\frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k} \geq (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Now let the lacunar condition

$$\frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_k} > q > 1$$

be satisfied for the spectrum $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Then the spectrum $\frac{\lambda_k}{q^k}$ will be increasing. Let's choose such a function $\lambda(x)$ so that the function $\frac{\lambda(x)}{q^x}$ was increasing and $\lambda(k) = \lambda_k$. Then also increasing and denoting $y = \mu(x)$ we have

$$y = \log_q x - \log_q \frac{\lambda(y)}{q^{y-1}} + 1,$$

then in the case of $y_1 = \mu(2^{\nu-1}\pi)$, $y_2 = \mu(2^\nu\pi)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(2^\nu\pi) - \mu(2^{\nu-1}\pi) + 1 &= \log_q 2 - \log_q \frac{\lambda(y_2)q^{y_1}}{q^{y_2}\lambda(y_1)} + 1 \leq \\ &\leq \log_q 2 + 1 = O\{1\} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By virtue of Lemma 2, condition (6) can be replaced by the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \omega^\beta(f, \frac{1}{k}) \omega_{\frac{\beta}{2}}(f, \frac{1}{k}) \Phi^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}[\omega(f, \frac{1}{k})] < \infty.$$

Theorem 7. Let the function $f \in B_2$ be bounded at $\frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_k} > q > 1$. And let the condition of Theorem 1 be satisfied for the function $\phi(u)$, for $\alpha > 0$, $\omega_\phi(h) = O\{h^\alpha\}$. Then for each $0 < \alpha < 2$ the series (5) converges.

Theorem 8. Let $\frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_k} > q > 1$. Then if $f \in B_2$ and for $\alpha > 0$ the condition $\omega_2(h) = O\{h^\alpha\}$ is satisfied, then for $0 < \alpha < 2$ the series (5) converges.

By virtue of Theorem 3 we get:

Theorem 9. Let $\frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_k} > q > 1$ and the function $\phi(u)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3. Let the function $f \in B_2$ be bounded and $V_\phi(f) < \infty$. Then at $0 < \alpha < 2$ the series (5) converges.

For proofs, it is sufficient to note that the condition

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{\beta\nu}{2}} \omega^\beta(f, 2^{-\nu}) \Phi^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}[\omega(f, 2^{-\nu})] < \infty \quad (17)$$

follows when substituting (16) for (11). However, according to Lemma 2, the condition (17) is equivalent to the condition

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{1+\frac{\beta}{2}}} \omega^\beta(f, \frac{1}{k}) \Phi^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}[\omega(f, 2^{-\nu})] < \infty,$$

which follows from the limitations of the spectrum

$$\omega^\beta(f, \frac{1}{k}) \Phi^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}[\omega(f, 2^{-\nu})]$$

and is performed when $\beta > 0$.

When $f(x)$ is a bounded function, theorems 7, 8, 9 at $\beta \geq 1$ are weaker than Sidon's results stating that the lacunar series of periodic and bounded absolute functions converge (see [8], page 139), for almost-periodic functions [6].

REFERENCES

1. Besicovich A.S. Almost periodic functions // Cambridge university press, 1932.
2. Besicovich A.S. Almost periodic and Generalized Trigonometric Series // Acta Math., 1931. Vol. 57, P. 203 – 292.
3. Bohr G. Almost periodic functions // Moscow: OGIZ, 1934.
4. Levitan B.M. Almost-periodic functions // Mir, Moscow: GITL, 1953. item Natanson I.P. Theory of real permanent functions // Nauka, Moscow, 1974.
5. Kawata T., Udagawa M. Some gap theorems // Kodai math. sem. 2013. Vol. 3, No 5, p. 99 – 102.
6. Stechkin S.B. On the absolute convergence of orthogonal Fourier series // Math. sat., 2013. Vol. 29, No 71, P. 225 – 232.
7. Zygmund A. Trigonometrical series // Warszawa-Lwow, 1935.
8. Bredikhina E.A. On the absolute convergence of Fourier series of almost periodic functions // Dokl. USSR Academy OF Sciences, 2013. Vol. 179, No 5, P. 1023 – 1026.
9. Musielak J. O bezwzględnej zbieżności szeregów Fouriera pewnych funkcji prawie okresowych // Zeszyty Nauk. Uniw. im. A. Mickiewicza, Mat. chtm., 1957. T 1, No 5, , P. 9 – 17.
10. Kuptsov N.P. On the absolute and uniform convergence of Fourier series of almost-periodic functions // Math. sat., 1956. Vol. 40, No 2, P. 157 – 178.

11. Pritula Ya.G. About the absolute value of the Fourier series of periodic functions // Visnik Lviv. un-tu, 1971. Vol. 137, No 5, P. 72 – 80.
12. Jafarov A.S. and Mammadov G.A. On the absolute convergence of Fourier series of almost-periodic Bezikovich functions // Izv. AN Azerbaijan. USSR, 1983. T 1, No 5, P. 8 – 13.
13. Khasanov Yu.Kh. On the absolute convergence of Fourier series of almost-periodic functions // Math. notes, 2013. Vol. 94, No 5, p. 745 – 756.
14. Khasanov Yu.K., Talbakov F.M. On the absolute convergence of Fourier series of almost-periodic Bezikovich functions // DAN RT. 2018. Vol. 61, No 1-2, P. 813 – 821.
15. Talbakov F.M. On the absolute convergence of Fourier series of almost-periodic functions in a uniform metric // DAN RT. 2020. Vol. 63, No 5-6, P. 289 – 293.
16. Talbakov F.M. On the absolute convergence of double Fourier series of almost-periodic functions in a uniform metric // Izvestia vuzov. Mathematics, 2023. Vol. 67, No 4, P. 65 – 75.