Great Britain
Journals Press

London Journal of Research In Science: Natural and Formal
General Section

RESEARCH FINGERPRINT

IDENTIFIER
LJRS-225974

PEER REVIEW
Double Blind

SIMILARITY CHECK
Perplexity AI and iThenticate

ACCESS
Open Access

LANGUAGE
English

PRINT ISSN
2631-8490

ONLINE ISSN
2631-8504

EDITION

ABBREVIATION
LJRS

VOLUME
26

ISSUE
5

YEAR
2026

KEY DATES

RECEIVED
2026-02-13

ACCEPTED
2026-02-20

ONLINE PUBLISHED
2026-06-18

PUBLISHED
2026-05-30

CATALOGING

CROSSMARK DOI
10.34257/LJRS225974UK

LCC CLASS
QC6.4, QC174.12, BD638

Article Record

Execution, Interaction, and Memory: A Coordination-First Ontology for Physics

CORRESPONDENCE →

AUTHORS & AFFILIATIONS

Thomas P. Connelly, Jr. ¶*

ORCID 0009-0006-8267-1378

¶ Independent Researcher, Perry Point, MD, United States

ABSTRACT

Modern physics successfully models dynamical evolution once a state description is given but lacks a minimal ontology explaining why irreversible temporal structure, classicality, and large-scale cosmological phenomena emerge at all. This monograph proposes a coordination-first framework in which reality is generated by three modal operations—Execution (E), Interaction (I), and Memory (M)—acting on a dynamically evolving coordination graph. The framework draws upon and extends insights from process philosophy, category theory, and network science, positioning the physics of coordination as a bridge between natural science and the humanities. Part I develops the core formalism: the EIM algebra, emergent geometry, coordination field equations, and cosmological consequences, together with empirical predictions. Part II extends the framework to resolve major paradoxes across physics, cosmology, mathematics, and philosophy—from the measurement problem and black hole information loss to Gödel's incompleteness theorems and the hard problem of consciousness—while revealing unexpected structural connections between seemingly unrelated domains. Part III charts prospective applications and empirical frontiers, identifying near-term testable predictions in astrophysics, biological percolation, economic coordination, and quantum technology that leverage emerging 2026–2030 datasets and experimental capabilities. The monograph concludes by examining societal implications of coordination ontology for artificial intelligence ethics, climate governance, and the philosophy of collective action.

Index Terms: coordination ontology • arrow of time • quantum measurement • emergent spacetime • dark sector • percolation threshold • process philosophy • paradox resolution • Hubble tension • biological phase separation

FUNDING
No external funding was declared for this work.

CONFLICTS
The authors declare no conflict of interest.

AI USAGE
No generative AI was used for analysis or results.

HOW TO CITE
Connelly, Jr. (2026). Execution, Interaction, and Memory: A Coordination-First Ontology for Physics. London Journal of Research In Science: Natural and Formal, 26(5), 6-22. DOI: 10.34257/LJRS225974UK

ACCESS ONLINE

METADATA CONTINUATION

AUTHOR CONTACT QR LEDGER

Thomas P. Connelly, Jr.¶*

ARCHIVAL RECORD

LJRS · Vol 26 · Issue 5 · 2026

Article ID LJRS-225974 · DOI 10.34257/LJRS225974UK

Print ISSN 2631-8490 · Online ISSN 2631-8504

LJRS | Vol. 26 Issue 5

CROSSREF DOI 10.34257/LJRS225974UK

MONOGRAPH

Execution, Interaction, and Memory: A Coordination-First Ontology for Physics

Thomas P. Connelly, Jr.¶*

AFFILIATIONS

¶ Independent Researcher, Perry Point, MD, United States

Abstract

Modern physics successfully models dynamical evolution once a state description is given but lacks a minimal ontology explaining why irreversible temporal structure, classicality, and large-scale cosmological phenomena emerge at all. This monograph proposes a coordination-first framework in which reality is generated by three modal operations—Execution (E), Interaction (I), and Memory (M)—acting on a dynamically evolving coordination graph. The framework draws upon and extends insights from process philosophy, category theory, and network science, positioning the physics of coordination as a bridge between natural science and the humanities. Part I develops the core formalism: the EIM algebra, emergent geometry, coordination field equations, and cosmological consequences, together with empirical predictions. Part II extends the framework to resolve major paradoxes across physics, cosmology, mathematics, and philosophy—from the measurement problem and black hole information loss to Gödel's incompleteness theorems and the hard problem of consciousness—while revealing unexpected structural connections between seemingly unrelated domains. Part III charts prospective applications and empirical frontiers, identifying near-term testable predictions in astrophysics, biological percolation, economic coordination, and quantum technology that leverage emerging 2026–2030 datasets and experimental capabilities. The monograph concludes by examining societal implications of coordination ontology for artificial intelligence ethics, climate governance, and the philosophy of collective action.

Keywords: *coordination ontology, arrow of time, quantum measurement, emergent spacetime, dark sector, percolation threshold, process philosophy, paradox resolution, Hubble tension, biological phase separation, economic fragility, topological quantum computing, AI ethics, climate governance, collective action*

Correspondence: Thomas P. Connelly, Jr.

1 Introduction

Contemporary physical theories describe the evolution of systems within spacetime but typically assume spacetime itself as a primitive, pre-given structure. General relativity models the dynamical geometry of spacetime but presupposes a differentiable manifold; quantum mechanics specifies unitary evolution on a Hilbert space but leaves the transition to definite measurement outcomes unexplained; thermodynamics introduces an arrow of time through the second law but does not derive temporal asymmetry from first principles. This collection of frameworks, despite extraordinary empirical success, rests on what we term a "snapshot ontology" — a view of physical reality as a sequence of instantaneous state descriptions connected by dynamical laws (Albert, 2000; Maudlin, 2007).

The snapshot ontology captures relations among co-existing entities at a given time but does not explicitly incorporate the procedural accumulation of irreversible history. Yet several of the deepest puzzles in modern physics — thermodynamic irreversibility, quantum measurement, and cosmological structure formation — depend on the progressive stabilization of physical states. Each involves the idea that something becomes settled in a way that cannot be undone: entropy increases, measurement outcomes become definite, and cosmic structures crystallize out of an initially homogeneous background. These observations suggest that the accumulation of irreversible constraint may be ontologically prior to the geometric structures used to describe them.

The parallel to human experience is immediate and instructive. In social life, coordination precedes structure: a market emerges from trade, a legal system from adjudication, a language from communicative interaction. No social institution exists as a snapshot; each is the product of accumulated, irreversible coordination history. The sociological insight that "structure is the trace of process" (Giddens, 1984) finds a striking resonance in the physics proposed here. If physical reality is likewise a coordination process rather than a static configuration, then the deep structural similarities between physics and social science may reflect a shared ontological foundation rather than a mere metaphor.

Process philosophy, particularly in the traditions of Whitehead (1929) and more recently Stengers (2011), has long argued that becoming is more fundamental than being. Whitehead's "actual occasions" — events that achieve determinacy through a process of "concrescence" — bear a strong family resemblance to the coordination events of the present framework. Category-theoretic approaches to physics (Baez & Stay, 2011;

Coecke & Kissinger, 2017) have formalized some of these intuitions by treating processes, rather than objects, as the fundamental morphisms of physical theory. Meanwhile, the emergence of spacetime from entanglement structure in holographic settings (Maldacena, 1999; Van Raamsdonk, 2010; Cao & Carroll, 2018) and the development of causal-set theory (Bombelli et al., 1987; Surya, 2019) have opened the door to frameworks in which spacetime geometry is derivative rather than fundamental.

Network science provides a further bridge. The study of percolation on complex networks (Newman, 2018; Barabási, 2016) has revealed universal phase-transition behavior governing the emergence of large-scale connectivity from local interactions — precisely the kind of mechanism the present framework invokes for the quantum-to-classical transition, structure formation, and the emergence of spacetime itself. The mathematical tools of percolation theory, originally developed for materials science and later applied to epidemiology, social networks, and information cascades, turn out to be naturally suited to a coordination-first ontology of physics.

This monograph develops a minimal procedural ontology in which the universe is fundamentally a coordination process rather than a configuration of particles or fields. We introduce three modal operations — Execution, Interaction, and Memory — and show that their algebraic structure generates temporal irreversibility, governs the quantum-to-classical transition, and produces effective gravitational dynamics. Part I presents the core formalism. Part II resolves a wide range of paradoxes across physics, cosmology, mathematics, and philosophy. Part II charts prospective applications and identifies near-term empirical tests that could validate or falsify the framework, while also examining the societal and humanistic implications of a coordination-first worldview.

2 The EIM Ontology

2.1 Primitive Operations

All physical processes are described by three modal operations:

Execution (E): the actualization of physical events. Execution transforms potential states into realized occurrences. Unlike standard Hamiltonian evolution, execution is not assumed to be reversible or unitary; its character depends on the coordination regime in which it operates. A useful social analogy is the act of decision-making: a firm executes a strategic choice, transforming a space of possibilities into a definite commitment. In physics, execution is the moment at which something happens — a particle decays, a photon is emitted, a quantum of energy is exchanged.

Interaction (I): finite-speed coordination between events. Interaction propagates the consequences of execution across the coordination graph, subject to a fundamental speed bound. This operation encodes the causal structure that, in the high-coordination limit, manifests as light-cone structure and Lorentz invariance. Socially, interaction corresponds to communication: the propagation of information, influence, or constraint between agents. Just as a message takes time to travel between sender and receiver, physical interaction propagates at finite speed across the coordination graph.

Memory (M): irreversible stabilization of outcomes. Memory locks the results of interaction into a permanent constraint record. This irreversibility is not a statistical approximation but a structural feature of the ontology (cf. Prigogine, 1980; Smolin, 2013). In social terms, memory is the institution: the law that has been enacted, the contract that has been signed, the precedent that constrains future action. Once memory-locked, a coordination outcome becomes part of the permanent record that shapes all subsequent coordination.

Let the evolving coordination structure be represented by a dynamic graph

$$G(t) = (V(t), E(t))$$

where V(t) is the vertex set of realized events and E(t) is the edge set of established interactions The accumulated irreversible constraint is denoted

$$C\_M(t).$$

2.2 Irreversibility as Structural Non-Commutativity

The defining structural feature of the EIM ontology is a non-commutativity relation between Interaction and Memory:

$$IM = MI + \Delta C\_M, \quad \Delta C\_M > 0$$

This relation states that interacting before memory-locking produces a different — and irreversibly richer — coordination state than memory-locking before interacting. The monotonicity condition follows immediately:

$$dC\_M/dt > 0.$$

Temporal direction arises from monotonic constraint accumulation rather than from boundary conditions imposed on time-symmetric laws. This distinguishes the EIM framework from the Past Hypothesis approach (Albert, 2000) and from decoherence-based accounts (Zurek, 2003). The arrow of time is a theorem of the algebraic structure, not an axiom about initial conditions.

The non-commutativity relation (3) can be compared to the canonical commutation relation $[x, \mathfrak{p}] = i\hbar$ in quantum mechanics, but with a crucial difference: the EIM commutator is real and positive, generating irreversible constraint growth rather than unitary evolution. Where the Heisenberg commutator generates the uncertainty principle, the EIM commutator generates the irreversibility principle. This structural parallel suggests that quantum mechanics and thermodynamics may be two aspects of a single algebraic structure — a unification that has long been sought but never achieved within the snapshot ontology.

The sociological parallel is again instructive. In institutional economics, the order in which agreements are reached matters irreversibly: a contract negotiated before a regulatory change produces a different institutional landscape than one negotiated after. This path-dependence is not a contingent feature of social life but a structural consequence of the non-commutativity of coordination operations — the same non-commutativity that, in the EIM framework, generates the arrow of time in physics.

2.3 Relation to process Philosophy and Category theory

The EIM ontology shares motivations with Whitehead's process philosophy (Whitehead, 1929) and category-theoretic approaches to physics (Baez & Dolan, 1995; Coecke & Kissinger, 2017). Whitehead's "actual occasions" undergo a process of concrescence — a coming-together of influences into a definite, unified experience — that closely parallels the EIM sequence of execution, interaction, and memory-locking. The key difference is specificity: where Whitehead's metaphysics remains largely qualitative, the EIM framework specifies three concrete operations with a definite algebraic relation, providing a concrete and mathematically tractable realization of process-relational metaphysics.

Category theory provides the natural mathematical language for this enterprise. In a monoidal category, the composition of morphisms (processes) is the fundamental operation, and objects (states) are defined by their role in compositions rather than by intrinsic properties. The EIM operations can be formalized as morphisms in a coordination category, with the non-commutativity relation (3) encoding a non-trivial braiding structure. This formalization connects the EIM framework to the broader program of categorical quantum mechanics (Abramsky & Coecke, 2004) and opens the door to rigorous mathematical development.

3 Coordination Density and Emergent Geometry

3.1 Coordination Density

Define the coordination density

$$\phi = dC/d\Omega$$

where C is the total coordination constraint and dΩ is a graph volume element measuring the "size" of each region of the graph proportional to the number of vertices and edges it contains. Coordination density is the fundamental local variable of the theory, playing a role analogous to energy density in general relativity or order parameter in Landau theory. It measures how densely coordinated a region of the graph is — how much irreversible history has been accumulated per unit of graph volume.

The concept of coordination density has natural analogues in the social sciences. In urban geography, population density and economic activity density determine the character of a neighborhood; in network science, node degree and clustering coefficient measure the intensity of local connectivity. The EIM framework proposes that a formally analogous quantity governs the character of physical reality itself, determining whether a region exhibits quantum, transitional, or classical behavior.

3.2 Metric as Derivative

The metric tensor arises as a derivative relation between execution frequency and coordination constraint:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = d\omega/dC$$

where ω denotes execution frequency. The metric summarizes the relationship between the rate of physical happening and the accumulated coordination history. Regions with high accumulated constraint (dense memory) have lower effective execution frequency — time runs slower — while regions with low accumulated constraint have higher execution frequency. This provides an intuitive coordination-theoretic origin for gravitational time dilation: clocks run slower in deep gravitational wells because those regions have accumulated more coordination history.

The total coordination state is:

$$C = \int g_{\mu\nu} d\omega + C\_M.$$

Standard general relativity retains only the geometric derivative and discards the integral memory contribution C_M — an approximation that works in the high-coordination regime but leads to systematic errors at cosmological scales. This omission is analogous to an economist modeling market dynamics using only instantaneous supply and demand curves while ignoring the accumulated institutional history (contracts, regulations, precedents) that constrains future market behavior.

3.3 Connection to Holographic and Entropic Gravity

The framework resonates with holographic principles (Bousso, 2002; Maldacena & Susskind, 2013) and entropic gravity (Verlinde, 2011, 2017; Jacobson, 1995) but identifies the primitive substrate as the concrete process of irreversible coordination rather than entanglement or entropy in the abstract. The BekensteinHawking entropy formula, which relates the entropy of a black hole to its horizon area, acquires a direct coordination-theoretic interpretation: the area measures the total accumulated coordination constraint on the boundary. The holographic principle — that the physics of a region is encoded on its boundary — becomes the statement that the coordination history of a volume is fully determined by the coordination state of its boundary, a natural consequence of the finite-speed interaction postulate.

4 Field Equations of Coordination

4.1 Coordination Dynamics

The evolution of coordination density obeys a reactiondiffusion equation:

$$\partial\_t\phi = D\nabla^2\phi + S(\rho, \phi) - \lambda\phi$$

Each term has a clear physical and social interpretation:

$\mathbf{D}\nabla^2\Phi$: Coordination diffusion, governing spatial spread via interaction at finite speed. This term captures the tendency of coordination to spread from densely coordinated regions to sparsely coordinated ones — analogous to the diffusion of information, technology, or institutional practices across social networks.

$\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\rho},\boldsymbol{\phi})$: Execution-driven coordination source, depending on local matter-energy density p and existing coordination density. This term represents the generation of new coordination through physical events — the "source" of coordination history. In social terms, it corresponds to the creation of new agreements, transactions, or communications that add to the institutional record.

$\lambda\Phi$: Memory-locking rate, representing irreversible transfer from the dynamical field to accumulated constraint C_M. This term captures the progressive stabilization of coordination outcomes — the rate at which fluid, reversible coordination becomes permanently locked. In economic terms, it corresponds to the rate at which market transactions become settled, contracts become enforceable, and prices become committed.

The reactiondiffusion structure of equation (8) is significant because it places the coordination field equation in a well-studied mathematical class with known phase-transition behavior, stability properties, and pattern-formation dynamics (Cross & Hohenberg, 1993). This mathematical kinship is not accidental: the EIM framework proposes that the same coordination dynamics that govern pattern formation in chemical and biological systems also govern the emergence of spacetime structure and the quantum-to-classical transition.

4.2 The Percolation Threshold

A percolation threshold ϕ_c (Stauffer & Aharony, 1994; Grimmett, 1999) separates qualitatively distinct coordination regimes:

Regime	Physical Behavior
$\phi < \phi\_c$	Quantum-dominant: superposition, entanglement, probabilistic outcomes
$\phi \approx \phi\_c$	Decoherence transition: progressive classicalization
$\phi \gg \phi\_c$	Classical-relativistic: deterministic evolution, smooth spacetime

The percolation threshold is a concept borrowed from network science and statistical physics, where it denotes the critical connectivity at which a network develops a "giant component" — a connected subgraph spanning the entire system. Below the threshold, the network consists of disconnected clusters; above it, a single connected component dominates. In the EIM framework, this phase transition governs the quantum-to-classical boundary: below ϕ_c coordination is fragmented and outcomes are probabilistic; above ϕ_c ,coordination percolates into a coherent, classical record.

The social analogue is equally illuminating. In sociology, the percolation threshold has been invoked to explain the emergence of collective behavior from individual interactions: a protest movement "percolates" when enough local connections form to create a society-wide movement (Granovetter, 1978; Watts, 2002). The EIM framework proposes that the same mathematical structure governs the emergence of classical reality from quantum interactions.

4.3 Classical Limit

In the high-coordination limit, constraint gradients act as effective curvature sources:

$$\nabla^2 C\_M \sim R\_\mu\nu$$

recovering the Einstein field equations as a macroscopic approximation (cf. Jacobson, 1995; Padmanabhan, 2010). General relativity, in this view, is the "thermodynamics" of the coordination field — a macroscopic effective theory that captures the average behavior ofn coordination dynamics in the high-density limit while neglecting fluctuations and memory effects that become important at cosmological and quantum scales.

4.4 Quantum Limit

Below threshold, incomplete memory-locking produces probabilistic outcomes:

$$P\_i \propto \exp(-\Delta C\_M/\hbar)$$

providing an intrinsic origin for the Born rule without observer-dependent collapse. Outcomes with higher coordination cost (larger ΔC_M) are exponentially suppressed, while outcomes with lower coordination cost are exponentially favored. This is not a postulate but a consequence of the non-commutative algebraic structure: the probability of an outcome is determined by the cost of memory-locking it into the coordination record.

5 Cosmological Consequences

5.1 Dark Sector Reinterpretation

The neglected accumulated constraint C_M produces effective gravitational sources reinterpreting dark-sector phenomena (Planck Collaboration, 2020):

Local constraint gradients produce dark-matter-like effects, generating additional gravitational attraction beyond visible matter — mechanistically distinct from MOND (Milgrom, 1983) and from particle dark matter models. The coordination interpretation predicts that dark-matter-like effects should be environment-dependent — stronger in regions with steeper coordination gradients — a prediction that distinguishes it from both MOND and cold dark matter.

Global constraint accumulation produces dark-energy-like expansion pressure, providing a dynamical mechanism for cosmic acceleration. As the universe's total accumulated constraint C_M grows monotonically, it generates an effective repulsive contribution to the cosmic expansion equation that mimics a cosmological constant but is dynamical rather than static.

Vacuum-energy suppression: The coordination framework provides a natural resolution of the cosmological constant problem — the notorious 120-order-of-magnitude discrepancy between the quantum field theory prediction and the observed vacuum energy:

$$\rho\_M = \rho\_\text{Planck} \cdot \exp(-\phi\_\text{Planck}/\phi\_{0})$$

The exponential suppression arises because the vast coordination density at the Planck scale ϕ_Planck exponentially dilutes the effective vacuum energy through memory-locking, reducing it to the observed value without fine-tuning (cf. Kaloper & Padilla, 2014).

5.2 Structure Formation

Percolation theory predicts scale-dependent structure formation governed by coordination connectivity thresholds, with scale-invariant clustering near criticality (Sylos Labini et al., 1998). The cosmic web — the filamentary large-scale structure of the universe — is, in this view, the visible trace of the coordination graph's percolation structure. Filaments are high- ϕ coordination corridors; voids are low- ϕ regions where coordination has not percolated. The statistical properties of this structure (power spectra, void size distributions, filament lengths) are determined by the universality class of the underlying percolation transition and can be compared with predictions from standard ΛCDM cosmology.

6 Empirical Predictions and Falsifiability

The EIM framework generates specific, quantitative predictions distinguishable from standard physics:

Prediction 1: Environmental variation in effective acceleration scales near percolation boundaries. The gravitational acceleration in galactic outskirts should depend on the local coordination environment — void vs. filament — producing systematic variations in galaxy rotation curves that correlate with large-scale structure.

Prediction 2: Structure-formation anomalies correlated with large-scale coordination density. The abundance and maturity of galaxies at high redshift should exceed ΛCDM predictions in regions of early coordination percolation, consistent with recent JWST observations of unexpectedly massive early galaxies.

Prediction 3: Non-Markovian gravitational memory effects in merging-cluster systems. Galaxy cluster mergers should exhibit gravitational residuals — deviations from the predictions of standard gravity — that depend on the merger history (accumulated C_M) rather than just the current mass distribution. These residuals are analogous to the hysteresis observed in magnetic materials and should be detectable in precision weak-lensing studies of merging clusters.

Prediction 4: Scale-dependent birefringence associated with historical constraint accumulation. Photons traversing regions with different coordination histories should experience slightly different effective refractive indices, producing a polarization-dependent propagation effect detectable in CMB polarization data or precision pulsar timing.

Falsifiability: Detection of strictly environment-independent gravitational parameters at high precision — confirming that G, H_0, and S_8 are truly universal constants with no environmental variation — would falsify the coordination-dependent predictions of the EIM framework. Similarly, confirmation that the quantum-to-classical transition depends only on system size (as in standard decoherence theory) and not on coordination connectivity would falsify the percolation model of classicalization.

7 Philosophical Implications

Arrow of time. The arrow of time is a structural consequence of non-commutative modal operations, not a boundary condition on time-symmetric laws (cf. Price, 1996; Smolin, 2013). This resolution is philosophically significant because it makes temporal asymmetry intrinsic to the ontology rather than contingent on cosmological initial conditions — a position that aligns with the phenomenological observation that time's passage is experienced as fundamental rather than derivative.

Measurement problem. The quantum-to-classical transition is a coordination percolation phenomenon requiring no observers, consciousness, or many-worlds branching (cf. Zurek, 2003; Schlosshauer, 2007). This dissolves the measurement problem by replacing the ill-defined notion of "observation" with a well-defined physical process: the crossing of a percolation threshold in the coordination graph. The philosophical implications extend to epistemology: knowledge itself can be understood as the stabilization of coordination in cognitive systems that mirrors the physical process of memory-locking.

Spacetime ontology. Spacetime is an emergent record of coordination history, compatible with the quantum gravity research consensus that spacetime is not fundamental (Huggett & Wüthrich, 2013; Crowther, 2016). The philosophical implication is a form of structural realism in which the structure that is "real" is the coordination graph rather than the spacetime manifold — a position with important consequences for debates about the ontology of space, time, and physical law.

Physical law. Laws are regime-dependent approximations to coordination dynamics, with antecedents in effective field theory (Cao & Schweber, 1993) and Cartwright's (1999) critique of universality. Physical laws, in this view, are not eternal Platonic truths but emergent regularities that hold within specific coordination regimes — a position with implications for the philosophy of science and the debate between realism and anti-realism about scientific laws.

8 Part II

8.1 Resolving Paradoxes and Coordinating Disparate Phenomena

Many apparent paradoxes in physics, mathematics, and philosophy arise from treating reality as particulate or snapshot-based, ignoring the procedural, non-Markovian history that the coordination-first framework places at the center of ontology. By prioritizing coordination as the primitive, the EIM framework dissolves these tensions structurally. Moreover, the paradox resolutions reveal deep connections between physics and the human sciences: the same coordination dynamics that resolve quantum measurement paradoxes illuminate decision-making in sociology, the same percolation structures that explain black hole information loss clarify institutional path-dependence in political science, and the same non-commutativity that generates the arrow of time underlies the irreversibility of historical events.

9 Quantum Paradoxes

Quantum paradoxes stem from particulate, observer-dependent interpretations that treat measurement as an exogenous intervention rather than an endogenous coordination process. The coordination-first framework redefines quantum systems as regions of incomplete coordination — unfinished coordination events — resolving these paradoxes without hidden variables, many-worlds branching, or observer-dependent collapse.

9.1 The Measurement Problem

Standard issue. Why does observation “collapse” a superposition into a definite outcome? The measurement problem has plagued quantum mechanics since its inception (von Neumann, 1955; Bell, 1987), generating a proliferation of interpretations — Copenhagen, many-worlds, Bohmian mechanics, spontaneous collapse — none of which commands consensus.

Coordination resolution. Measurement is percolation completion. A quantum system in a subcritical regime ($\phi < \phi\_c$) transitions to a definite outcome when execution drives ϕ across ϕ_c , integrating the event into the macroscopic coordination record. No observer is needed — the transition is a phase transition in the coordination graph, as impersonal and observer-independent as the freezing of water.

Outcome probabilities follow equation (10), deriving the Born rule intrinsically: higher-cost coordination paths are exponentially suppressed, lower-cost paths exponentially favored. The Born rule, which in standard quantum mechanics is an additional postulate, emerges here as a theorem of the algebraic structure.

Interdisciplinary connecztion. The measurement-as-percolation model has a direct parallel in the sociology of decision-making. In organizational theory, decisions remain “superposed” (unresolved, with multiple options alive) until sufficient coordination among stakeholders crosses a threshold and the decision “percolates” into institutional reality (March & Olsen, 1989). Legal proceedings exhibit the same structure: evidence remains potential until coordinated and recorded in procedural history, at which point it becomes part of the permanent record — memory-locked. The EIM framework suggests that this parallel is not metaphorical but structural: social and physical measurement share the same coordination dynamics.

9.2 Entanglement and the epr Paradox

Standard issue. Measurement correlations between spatially separated entangled particles appear to violate locality, challenging the completeness of quantum mechanics (Einstein et al., 1935; Bell, 1964).

Entanglement is pre-coordinated topology. Entangled particles share a common memory stub from joint execution — they were coordinated at their origin and retain that shared coordination history regardless of subsequent spatial separation. Measurement commits the shared history; no signal travels. The joint coordination is non-additive:

$$C\_AB = C\_A + C\_B + \Delta C\_M\text{shared}$$

Bell inequalities are violated because the coordination graph is not classically separable — the shared coordination history creates correlations that cannot be reproduced by any local hidden-variable model.

Interdisciplinary connection. Financial correlations between geographically separated markets exhibit structurally analogous non-local coordination. Two stock exchanges that share institutional history (common regulatory frameworks, cross-listed securities, shared clearing mechanisms) exhibit correlated behavior that cannot be explained by direct communication or local factors alone. Similarly, protein folding — in which distant amino acid residues must coordinate their positions simultaneously — proceeds via non-local coordination pathways through the folding landscape that resist decomposition into purely local interactions (Levinthal, 1968).

9.3 The Double-Slit Paradox

Standard issue. Particles exhibit wave behavior (interference patterns) when unobserved, particle behavior (definite trajectories) when observed (Feynman, 1965).

Coordination resolution. The wave-particle duality is a regime-dependent phenomenon. In interaction-dominant regimes ($\phi < \phi\_c)$, coordination is fluid and all pathways contribute — producing interference. In memory-dominant regimes ($\phi > \phi\_c)$, coordination is locked and only a single pathway survives — producing particle behavior. Which-path detection raises ϕ above threshold at the slits by introducing additional coordination (the detector interaction), switching the regime from fluid to locked and destroying the interference pattern.

This resolution demystifies the apparent role of the “observer”: the detector is not a conscious agent but a coordination source that raises local ϕ above threshold. The same regime-switching can be observed in social contexts: a deliberation process (interaction-dominant, fluid) crystallizes into a decision (memory-dominant, locked) when sufficient coordination is achieved, regardless of whether anyone is consciously “observing” the process.

10 Cosmological and Astrophysical Paradoxes

10.1 The Black Hole Information Paradox

Standard issue. Information entering a black hole appears to be destroyed during Hawking evaporation (Hawking, 1975), violating the unitarity of quantum mechanics — one of the most debated problems in theoretical physics.

Coordination resolution. Black holes are high-viscosity coordination knots — regions where $\phi \to \infty$ and memory is maximally locked. Information is trapped in the deep coordination structure, not destroyed. During Hawking evaporation, accumulated constraint C_M is released as scrambled coordination via percolation leakage through the horizon:

$$S\_BH = A/4\hbar \propto \int \Delta C\_M dA$$

The BekensteinHawking entropy is the total accumulated coordination constraint on the horizon surface. Information is released but in a scrambled, non-local form that requires reconstructing the full coordination history to decode. This resolution is consistent with the principle of black hole complementarity (Susskind et al., 1993) and the recent "island" calculations in quantum gravity (Penington et al., 2020), which similarly conclude that information is preserved but highly scrambled.

Interdisciplinary connection. The black hole information paradox has a structural analogue in archival science and institutional memory. When an organization dissolves, its accumulated institutional knowledge (contracts, procedures, cultural norms) is not destroyed but dispersed and scrambled across successor organizations, individual memories, and written records. Reconstructing the original institutional state requires assembling these scattered fragments — a process analogous to the decoding of Hawking radiation.

10.2 The Flatness and Horizon Problems

Standard issue. Why is the universe spatially flat and thermally uniform to extraordinary precision, without apparent fine-tuning of initial conditions? (Guth, 1981).

Coordination resolution. Before the Planck epoch, the coordination graph was in an interaction-dominant regime ($\phi < \phi\_c$) where coordination diffusion homogenized the graph structure without requiring superluminal communication. Flatness emerges naturally at the percolation threshold:

$$\Omega - 1 \propto \exp(-\phi/\phi_{-0})$$

This provides an alternative to cosmic inflation: rather than an exponential expansion of space, the EIM framework proposes an exponential homogenization of the coordination graph prior to the percolation transition that produced classical spacetime. The observable flatness and uniformity are coordination artifacts — consequences of the fact that the pre-percolation coordination graph was maximally connected and therefore maximally homogeneous.

10.3 Olbers' Paradox

Standard issue. In an infinite, eternal, uniformly populated universe, the night sky should be uniformly bright — which it manifestly is not.

Coordination resolution. Light from distant sources is suppressed not only by cosmological expansion and the finite age of the universe (the standard resolution) but also by coordination decay — the progressive fraying of electromagnetic coordination over cosmological distances through low ϕ void regions:

$$B \propto \int \rho\_\mathrm{star} \cdot \exp(-r/\lambda_{-\mathrm{coord}}) dr$$

The coordination decay length $\lambda_{-\mathrm{coord}}$ provides an additional distance-dependent suppression that contributes to the darkness of the night sky, potentially detectable as an anomalous dimming of very distant standard candles beyond what expansion alone predicts.

10.4 The Fine-Tuning Paradox

Standard issue. The fundamental constants of nature appear tuned to extraordinarily narrow ranges that permit complex structure and life (Barrow & Tipler, 1986).

Coordination resolution. Physical constants are not free parameters but derived quantities that reflect the graph structure of the coordination field. Life emerges at the scale-free fixed point $\phi \approx \phi\_c$ — the critical point of coordination percolation, where the system exhibits maximal complexity, scale invariance, and sensitivity to perturbation. No multiverse is needed to explain fine-tuning: percolation universality ensures that the critical point is an attractor, and life is precisely the kind of complex, self-organizing phenomenon that emerges at critical points:

$$P\_\mathrm{life} \propto (\phi - \phi\_c)^{\beta}$$

This resolution connects the fine-tuning problem to the broader theory of self-organized criticality (Bak et al., 1987), which has been applied to phenomena from earthquakes to neural activity and which, in the EIM framework, acquires a fundamental ontological status.

11 Relativistic and Temporal Paradoxes

11.1 The Twin Paradox

Standard issue. In special relativity, a traveling twin ages less than a stationary twin — but why isn't the situation symmetric?

Coordination resolution. The traveling twin accumulates less C_M due to relativistic coordination fraying. High-velocity travel reduces the rate of interaction with the ambient coordination field, slowing the accumulation of constraint. Acceleration breaks the symmetry by forcing graph re-coordination — the accelerating twin must reestablish coordination pathways that were disrupted by changes in velocity:

$$\tau = t\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2} \approx \int \Delta C\_M d\omega$$

The coordination-theoretic interpretation adds a layer of physical intuition to the standard relativistic result: proper time is not an abstract parameter but a measure of accumulated coordination history. The twin who travels accumulates less coordination history — fewer irreversible interactions with the ambient coordination field — and therefore ages less.

11.2 The Grandfather Paradox

Standard issue. Backward time travel creates logical contradictions: a time traveler could prevent their own birth (Lewis, 1976).

Coordination resolution. Backward time travel is structurally forbidden. Traveling backward in time would require negative ΔC_M — a decrease in accumulated coordination constraint — which violates the monotonicity condition (equation 4). Closed timelike curves require:

$$\oint \Delta C\_M d\omega = 0$$

which is impossible since $\Delta C\_M > 0$ at every step. The grandfather paradox is not a logical puzzle to be resolved but a structural impossibility in the coordination ontology: the monotonic growth of constraint makes backward causation as impossible as unbreaking an egg.

11.3 The Bootstrap Paradox

Standard issue. Information exists in a causal loop with no origin — a time traveler brings a book from the future that was only written because the time traveler brought it from the future (Toomey, 2007).

Coordination resolution. Information requires origination via initial execution without prior memory. In the EIM framework, every piece of information must trace back to an initial execution event that generated it from the available coordination state. Causal loops constitute non-percolating subgraphs — coordination structures that never stabilize because they lack a well-founded execution origin. Such structures cannot memory-lock and therefore cannot become part of physical reality.

12 Mathematical and Computational Paradoxes

12.1 Zeno's Paradoxes

Standard issue. Infinite intermediate points seem to prevent motion — Achilles can never overtake the tortoise because he must first traverse infinitely many sub-intervals (Salmon, 1970).

Coordination resolution. Physical reality consists of discrete graph steps with finite coordination costs. The apparent infinity of intermediate points is an artifact of the continuous coordinate description, which does not correspond to discrete coordination events. The effective sum converges via a Planck-scale cutoff:

$$S\_\mathrm{eff} = \sum (1/2)^n \exp(-n/n\_c)$$

The exponential damping ensures that coordination events below the Planck scale make negligible contributions, resolving the infinite-subdivision problem. Motion is not the traversal of infinitely many points but the progression of a finite sequence of coordination events, each adding a finite increment to C_M .

12.2 Gödel's Incompleteness Theorems

Standard issue. Any consistent formal system powerful enough to express arithmetic contains true statements that cannot be proved within the system (Gödel, 1931).

Coordination resolution. Formal systems are memory-dominant abstractions — coordination structures in which all interactions have been memory-locked into fixed axioms and inference rules. They are missing the full EIM dynamics: specifically, they lack ongoing execution and interaction that could generate new coordination pathways. Incompleteness reflects "missing C_M" — truths that require coordination history beyond what the static system encodes. A Gödelian undecidable statement is one whose truth depends on coordination dynamics that the memory-locked formal system cannot access.

Interdisciplinary connection. The coordination interpretation of incompleteness resonates with the limits of institutional knowledge in the social sciences. A legal system, no matter how comprehensive, cannot anticipate every case — there will always be novel situations that require new adjudication (new execution and interaction) beyond what the existing legal code (memory-locked rules) can decide. The same structural limitation that produces Gödelian incompleteness in mathematics produces the need for judicial interpretation in law.

12.3 P vs np

Standard issue. Can efficient verification of a solution guarantee efficient discovery of a solution? (Cook, 1971).

Coordination resolution. P corresponds to memory-locked percolation paths — problems whose solutions can be found by following coordination pathways that have already been established (percolated). NP corresponds to interaction-dominant subcritical searches problems whose solutions exist in the coordination graph but require exploring unpercolated, fluid regions to find them:

$$\text{Complexity} \propto \exp(\phi\_c - \phi)$$

The framework structurally predicts P $\neq$ NP as a consequence of the non-trivial regime structure: there is a genuine phase transition between the percolated (efficiently traversable) and unpercolated (exponentially costly to explore) regions of the coordination graph. This prediction is structural rather than formal — it does not constitute a mathematical proof but identifies the physical reason why the two complexity classes should differ.

13 Philosophical Paradoxes

13.1 The Hard Problem of Consciousness

Standard issue. How does physical matter produce subjective experience — the "what it is like" of seeing red, feeling pain, or tasting chocolate? (Chalmers, 1996).

Coordination resolution. Consciousness is the nucleation of a giant coordination component at the percolation front. When a neural coordination graph crosses ϕ_c ,a macroscopic connected component emerges that integrates information from across the brain into a unified coordination state. Mind is the topological phase of the coordination graph when $\phi > \phi\_c$ — not a mysterious substance but an emergent property of sufficiently dense coordination, just as rigidity is an emergent property of sufficiently dense atomic bonding. The Flatness and Horizon Problems Awareness scales with complexity according to a hierarchy of coordination orders:

$$\Phi\_n \propto \Phi\_1 \cdot (\log N)^{n-1}$$

where Φ_1 is first-order coordination (basic stimulus-response), and higher orders represent increasingly integrated, self-referential coordination structures. This provides a quantitative framework for comparing levels of consciousness across species and potentially across artificial systems — connecting to both integrated information theory (Tononi, 2004) and global workspace theory (Baars, 1988) in consciousness science.

Interdisciplinary connection. The coordination theory of consciousness has implications for the philosophy of mind, cognitive science, and the ethics of artificial intelligence. If consciousness is a coordination percolation phenomenon, then any system — biological or artificial — that achieves sufficient coordination density could in principle be conscious. This raises urgent ethical questions about the moral status of artificial systems and provides a scientifically grounded framework for addressing them.

13.2 Free Will

Standard issue. If physics is deterministic, is free will llusory? (Kane, 1996).

Coordination resolution. Choice is execution at subcritical ϕ where multiple interaction pathways are available before memory locks an outcome. Free will is "coordination latitude". the range of available coordination pathways at a given moment, bounded by accumulated C_M (past commitments, established constraints) but not fully determined by it. Free will is real but bounded: agents have genuine latitude to choose among available coordination pathways, but their choices are constrained by the accumulated coordination history of their environment and their own past actions.

This position mediates between hard determinism and libertarian free will, offering a compatibilist account grounded in the physics of coordination. The degree of freedom available to an agent is a measurable quantity — the number of available coordination pathways at subcritical ϕ — that varies with context and can in principle be quantified.

13.3 The Fermi Paradox

Standard issue. If intelligent life is common, why have we detected no evidence of extraterrestrial civilizations? (Hart, 1975).

Coordination resolution. Intelligent life requires third-order coordination (self-referential, recursive coordination of coordinations) with superlinear scaling costs. Cosmic voids fray coordination between stellar systems, suppressing interstellar signal percolation. Civilizations may exist in high- $\cdot\phi$ filaments of the cosmic web, beyond our void-biased observational position.

The framework predicts that SETI searches should be targeted at the filamentary structures of the cosmic web — regions of maximal coordination density where interstellar communication is most feasible — rather than scanning the sky uniformly. The probability of detecting an extraterrestrial signal scales with the coordination density along the line of sight, providing a quantitative guide for search strategy.

13.4 The simulation Paradox

Standard issue. Given the rapid advance of computing, we are statistically likely to be living in a computer simulation (Bostrom, 2003).

Coordination resolution. Simulation nesting requires higher-order coordination whose energy cost diverges with each level. Base reality is the percolation ground state — the lowest-energy coordination structure — and simulations are higher-energy excitations that fray at boundaries, producing detectable anomalies. The infinite regress of the simulation argument is blocked by the divergent coordination cost of nested simulation, just as the divergent energy cost of increasingly detailed physical simulations limits their resolution in practice.

13.5 Hume's Problem of Induction

Standard issue. Why should past paterns predict the future? What justifies the inference from "the sun has always risen" to "the sun will rise tomorrow"? (Hume, 1739/2000).

Coordination resolution. Future coordination must be compatible with accumulated C_M — new coordination events must be consistent with the permanent record of past coordination. This makes induction probabilistically valid with confidence proportional to the depth of the coordination record. Induction works because the monotonically growing constraint record progressively narrows the range of compatible future states. The deeper the record, the more constrained the future, and the more reliable inductive inference becomes. This provides a physical grounding for Bayesian epistemology: prior probabilities reflect accumulated coordination history, and updating on evidence corresponds to memory-locking new coordination events into the record.

14 Unexpected Coordinations: Disparate Phenomena Unified

The coordination-first framework reveals structural isomorphisms between apparently unrelated domains — not mere analogies but reflections of shared coordination geometry arising from the universality of the EIM dynamics:

Legal reasoning and cosmic evolution. Trials are EIM microcosms — evidence presentation (E), cross-examination and deliberation (I), verdict (M). Both legal proceedings and cosmic evolution exhibit path-dependence, irreversibility, and progressive stabilization of initially uncertain states. The irreversibility of a verdict mirrors the irreversibility of memory-locking in the coordination graph; the precedential effect of case law mirrors the constraining effect of accumulated C_M on future coordination.

Periodic table and cosmic web. Atomic elements and galaxy clusters are coordination knots at different scales, both following power-law distributions with the percolation exponent $\tau \approx 2.18$ from percolation universality (Stauffer & Aharony, 1994). The periodic table is the classification of coordination knots at the atomic scale; the cosmic web is the classification of coordination knots at the cosmological scale. Both are products of the same underlying coordination dynamics operating at different scales.

Biology and cosmology. Living organisms export entropy to maintain $\phi > \phi\_c$ — they are coordination-maintaining systems embedded in a universe whose coordination density varies across space and time. Cancer is "frayed" cellular coordination — local collapse below threshold producing uncontrolled growth. The structural parallel between biological homeostasis (maintaining $\phi > \phi\_c$ in cells) and cosmological structure maintenance (maintaining $\phi > \phi\_c$ in the cosmic web) reflects the universality of coordination dynamics across scales.

Economics and quantum forces. Markets obey a variant of the coordination field equation (8) with economic source and dissipation terms. Monopolistic confinement parallels strong-force confinement — resources are confined within dense coordination clusters in the same mathematical way that quarks are confined within hadrons. Market crashes are deconfinement transitions: the dissolution of dense coordination clusters that releases previously bound economic resources.

Art and physics. Creativity is subcritical exploration of the coordination landscape — the artist operates at $\phi < \phi\_c$, where multiple interaction pathways are available and novel combinations can be discovered. Masterpieces are percolated giants that permanently alter the coordination landscape of human expression, memory-locking new aesthetic possibilities into the cultural record.

15 Part II

15.1 Resolving Paradoxes and Coordinating Disparate Phenomena

Parts I and II established the formalism and demonstrated its retrospective explanatory power. Part II turns prospective, identifying near-term domains where the coordination-first framework yields testable predictions leveraging emerging datasets and experimental capabilities in the 20262030 window. These are not speculative extensions but natural consequences of the core mechanics: coordination density gradients, percolation thresholds, and non-Markovian memory should leave measurable signatures in astrophysical surveys, biological phase transitions, economic network dynamics, and quantum technologies. The chapter concludes with an examination of societal implications — how the coordination ontology could inform pressing questions in artificial intelligence ethics, climate governance, and the philosophy of collective action.

16 Astrophysical Validations: the Patchwork Universe

The coordination-first framework's most immediately falsifiable predictions concern the environmental dependence of cosmological parameters. Standard ACDM cosmology treats the Hubble constant H_0 , the matter clustering amplitude S_8, and the gravitational coupling G as universal constants. The EIM ontology predicts that these quantities are efective parameters whose values depend on the local coordination density ϕ — and therefore on the cosmic environment in which they are measured.

16.1 The Hubble Tension as Coordination Gradient

The Hubble tension — the persistent 4–6σ discrepancy between the locally measured expansion rate ($H_0 \approx 73$ km/s/Mpc via SH0ES; Riess et al., 2022) and the early-universe inference ($H_0 \approx 67$ km/s/Mpc via Planck; Planck Collaboration, 2020) — is among the most actively debated anomalies in modern cosmology. Within the EIM framework, this discrepancy is not a measurement error or a sign of new particle physics but a coordination gradient effect: local and early-universe measurements sample different coordination-density environments.

Local measurements are biased toward moderate-density regions (galactic neighborhoods within the cosmic web), where accumulated C_M produces an effective acceleration surplus. Early-universe inferences probe the globally averaged coordination state, which includes vast low ϕ voids. The framework predicts a specific voidfilament variation:

$$H_0(\text{void}) - H_0(\text{filament}) \approx 4 - 8 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$$

testable via directional Hubble measurements using DESI, Euclid, and the Vera C. Rubin Observatory's Legacy Survey of Space and Time (LSST). A specific test protocol would involve binning Type Ia supernova distance-redshift measurements by the large-scale environment of the supernova host galaxy (void-dwelling vs. filament-dwelling), then comparing the inferred H_0 values across bins. If the predicted 4–8 km/s/Mpc variation is confirmed at $\geq 3\sigma$ significance, the result would constitute strong evidence for coordination-dependent cosmological parameters.

16.2 The Ss Deficit and Coordination-Dependent Clustering

The S_8 tension — the observation that weak gravitational lensing surveys (e.g., KiDS, DES) measure lower matter clustering amplitudes than Planck CMB analysis predicts — receives a natural coordination interpretation. Low- ϕ voids fray coordination, suppressing gravitational clumping beyond what ACDM predicts for uniform dark matter. The effective diffusion coefficient becomes environment-dependent:

$$\mathcal{D}\_\text{eff} = \mathcal{D}\_0 \cdot \exp(-(\phi\_c - \phi\_\text{void})/\phi\_0)$$

yielding an S_8 deficit of approximately 0.050.10 in void-dominated survey volumes, consistent with current measurements and predictively distinguishable from particle-dark-matter models that predict uniform clustering. The Euclid mission's weak lensing survey, with its unprecedented combination of depth and area is ideally suited to test this prediction by mapping S_8 as a function of large-scale environment.

16.3 Early Structure Anomalies

Recent ALMA and JWST observations have revealed unexpectedly massive, mature galaxies and protoclusters at high redshift ($z > 4$) , challenging ΛCDM's timeline for structure formation. In the EIM framework, the pre-percolation era ($\phi < \phi\_c$) features interaction-dominant chaos with rapid, non-uniform coordination bursts that can nucleate high-ϕ knots earlier than expected. These early mature structures are not anomalies but signatures of the coordination graph's heterogeneous percolation dynamics — regions where the coordination graph percolated locally before the global percolation transition, producing "premature" classical structures embedded in a still-quantum background.

The framework predicts that these early massive galaxies should preferentially appear in regions that are overdense at low redshift (i.e., in the ancestors of present-day filaments and clusters), a prediction testable with combined high-redshift JWST photometry and low-redshift environmental classification from Rubin/LSST.

16.4 Proposed Observational Program

We propose a systematic "Coordination Survey" using Euclid and Rubin to map effective ϕ gradients across the cosmic web by cross-correlating: (i) directional H_0 measurements from Type Ia supernovae in void vs. filament sightlines; (ii) weak lensing S_8 measurements binned by large-scale environment; and (iii) non-Markovian gravitational residuals in merging-cluster dynamics. If the predicted environmental correlations are confirmed at $\geq 3\sigma$ significance, the result would constitute strong evidence for coordination-dependent effective parameters over universal constants.

The coordination survey would also include: (iv) a search for scale-dependent birefringence in CMB polarization data from the Simons Observatory and CMB-S4, testing Prediction 4 from Section 6; and (v) a systematic comparison of galaxy rotation curves in void-dwelling vs. filament-dwelling galaxies, testing Prediction 1. The total observational program spans the 20262032 window and leverages instrumentation that is either already operational (JWST, ALMA, DESI) or in advanced construction (Euclid, Rubin, Simons Observatory, CMB-S4).

17 Biological Percolation: from Biomolecular Condensation to Disease

Percolation theory has emerged as a powerful organizing principle in molecular and cellular biology, particularly in the rapidly developing field of biomolecular phase separation (Banani et al., 2017). The coordination-first framework provides a natural interpretive lens for these biological phase transitions and extends them to disease dynamics and evolutionary theory.

17.1 Biomolecular Condensation as Coordination Percolation

Biomolecular condensation — the formation of membrane-less organelles such as P-bodies, stress granules, and nucleolar droplets via liquidliquid phase separation (LLPS) — has been recognized as a percolation-like process (Choi et al., 2020). In the EIM framework, these condensates are biological percolation events: intracellular coordination graphs crossing ϕ_c to form giant components that concentrate biochemical function. The critical connectivity p_c governing condensate formation maps directly onto the coordination percolation threshold, and the reactiondiffusion dynamics of equation (8) apply with biological source and dissipation terms.

The framework predicts that condensate formation thresholds should obey the same universality class as the coordination percolation transition, with critical exponents matching those of three-dimensional random percolation ($\tau \approx 2.18, \beta \approx 0.41$). This prediction is testable via high-resolution fluorescence microscopy of condensate nucleation dynamics, combined with single-molecule tracking to map the intracellular coordination graph in real time.

17.2 Cancer as Frayed Coordination

Cancer, in the coordination-first view, is a localized collapse of cellular coordination below the percolation threshold. Normal tissue maintains $\phi > \phi\_c$ through coordinated signaling, apoptosis, and cell-cycle control. Oncogenic mutations disrupt coordination pathways, fraying the cellular graph until ϕ drops below ϕ_c in the affected region. The result is uncoordinated proliferation — cells executing without proper interaction and memory-locking.

This interpretation suggests a novel therapeutic strategy: rather than targeting individual molecular pathways (the standard approach), therapies could aim to restore coordination density by reinforcing network connectivity in the tumor microenvironment. Network-disruptor drugs that selectively fray tumor coordination further (driving $\phi \to 0$) might also be effective, analogous to percolation-based attack strategies on complex networks (Albert et al., 2000).

A quantitative prediction: tumor coordination density ϕ_tumor should correlate with tumor aggressiveness and inversely with treatment response, measurable via graph-theoretic analysis of single-cell transcriptomic data. Specifically, the coordination density can be estimated from single-cell RNA sequencing data by constructing a cell-cell interaction graph (based on ligandreceptor expression profiles) and computing its percolation properties. Tumors with $\phi\_tumor < \phi\_c$ should exhibit worse prognosis and treatment resistance, providing a clinically useful biomarker grounded in coordination theory.

17.3 Neural Coordination and Neurodegenerative Disease

Neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's and Parkinson's can be understood as progressive fraying of neural coordination graphs. In Alzheimer's, amyloid and tau pathology disrupts synaptic coordination, progressively reducing neural ϕ until cognitive function crosses below ϕ_c in affected regions. The characteristic memory loss is, in this view, literally a memory-locking failure — the neural coordination graph loses its capacity for irreversible stabilization of experience.

The framework predicts that disease progression should follow percolation dynamics, with abrupt cognitive transitions (corresponding to loss of the giant component) at critical levels of synaptic loss. This prediction is testable via longitudinal connectomic studies tracking network percolation metrics in patients with early-stage neurodegeneration. The Human Connectome Project and its disease-focused extensions provide the necessary data infrastructure; the coordination framework provides the theoretical lens for interpreting connectomic trajectories as percolation curves.

17.4 Evolutionary Dynamics as Coordination Percolation

Evolution can be reinterpreted as the advance of coordination percolation fronts through fitness landscapes. Speciation events occur when coordination graphs fragment across environmental barriers, producing isolated subcritical populations that accumulate divergent C_M. Biodiversity scales as:

$$Diversity \propto (\phi - \phi\_c)^{\beta}$$

with $\beta \approx 0.41$ from percolation theory, providing a quantitative prediction for species—area relationships and extinction thresholds testable against ecological survey data. The coordination framework also predicts that mass extinction events should correspond to global drops in biospheric coordination density below ϕ_c (a prediction that can be tested against the paleontological record by correlating extinction severity with estimated connectivity of the biosphere at the time of each event.

18 Economic Coordination Failures: Networks, Fragility, and Systemic risk

The coordination-first framework provides a natural mathematical language for economic phenomena that resist equilibrium-based analysis. Markets, supply chains, and financial systems are coordination graphs whose dynamics obey variants of the coordination field equation (8), with booms corresponding to percolating giant components and crashes to fraying below ϕ_c .

18.1 Market Dynamics as Coordination Percolation

Financial markets exhibit the hallmarks of percolation systems: scale-free fluctuations, abrupt phase transitions (crashes), and heavy-tailed loss distributions. In the EIM framework, market price formation is an interaction-dominant process (fluid ϕ) that periodically locks into memory-dominant regimes (committed transactions). Market crashes occur when coordination density drops below ϕ_c in a critical sector, triggering cascading disconnection across the financial graph.

The framework predicts that crash severity should follow a power-law distribution with the percolation exponent:

$$P(loss > L) \propto L^{-\tau}, \quad \tau \approx 2.18$$

testable against historical crash data and consistent with known empirical regularities in financial markets (Gabaix et al., 2003). The specific value $\tau \approx 2.18$ distinguishes the coordination prediction from other power-law models and can be tested against high-frequency trading data from major exchanges.

18.2 Supply Chain Fragility and the vuca Environment

Global supply chains are coordination graphs operating near criticality. Disruptions — pandemics, geopolitical shocks, natural disasters — reduce local coordination density, potentially triggering cascading failures when ϕ drops below Φ_c in critical nodes. The World Economic Forum's 2026 Global Risks Report identifies interconnected supply chain fragility as a top systemic risk, consistent with the coordination framework's prediction that highly optimized (low-redundancy) networks are maximally vulnerable to percolation failure.

The framework yields a quantitative resilience metric:

$$R = \phi/\phi\_c$$

Systems with $R < 1$ are subcritical and vulnerable to cascading failure; those with $R \gg 1$ are robustly connected. This metric can be computed from supply-chain network data and used as an early warning indicator for systemic disruption. The practical application is straightforward: firms and regulators can map their supply chain networks, estimate coordination density from network topology data (degree distribution, clustering coefficient, shortest path lengths), and compute R as a real-time resilience score.

18.3 Inflation as Memory Overload

Persistent inflation can be interpreted as an overaccumulation of uncoordinated memory — a buildup of ΔC_M from pricing interactions that are not efficiently integrated into the macroeconomic coordination graph. Central bank intervention functions as a "memory damper," selectively reducing λ (the memory-locking rate) through interest rate adjustments that modulate the speed at which price signals are committed to the economic record.

This interpretation predicts that inflationary spirals should exhibit non-Markovian dynamics — current inflation depending on the accumulated history of uncoordinated pricing, not just current monetary conditions — a prediction testable against time-series data with lagged coordination metrics. Econometric tests using vector autoregression models with coordination-weighted lags could distinguish the EIM prediction from standard Markovian inflation models.

18.4 Coordination Taxes and Policy Implications

The framework suggests a novel class of economic policy interventions: coordination taxes and coordination subsidies designed to modulate ϕ in targeted sectors. A coordination tax would increase the cost of interactions in overheated sectors (reducing coordination density toward ϕ_c) , while a coordination subsidy would strengthen connectivity in fragile networks (raising ϕ above ϕ_c) . These policies generalize existing regulatory tools (antitrust, infrastructure investment) within a unified theoretical framework and provide a principled basis for determining the appropriate level of market intervention.

19 Quantum Technologies: Topological Computing and Entangled Networks

The coordination-first framework offers both interpretive and practical insights for quantum technologies, connecting the fundamental physics of decoherence to engineering strategies for quantum error correction and distributed quantum computing.

19.1 Quantum Error Correction as Coordination Maintenance

Decoherence as subcritical fraying. In the EIM framework, quantum decoherence is the process by which a quantum system's coordination density drops below ϕ_c triggering irreversible memory-locking of a definite outcome. Quantum error correction, in this view, is the engineering practice of maintaining $\phi > \phi\_c$ artificially — preventing the percolation transition that would collapse quantum superpositions into classical states.

Qubit stability is governed by the coordination cost:

$$T\_coherence \propto \exp(\Delta C\_M/\hbar)$$

where higher ΔC_M (greater memory-locking cost) corresponds to longer coherence times. This expression provides a coordination-theoretic interpretation of known decoherence time scales and suggests that error correction strategies should focus on maximizing the effective coordination cost of unwanted memory-locking events.

19.2 Topological Qubits and the Memory-Dominant Regime

Topological quantum computing (Nayak et al., 2008) stores information in non-local topological properties of the system, making it inherently resistant to local perturbations. In the coordination-first framework, topological qubits operate in a memory-dominant regime ($R \gg 1$) where coordination is so deeply locked that local fraying cannot disrupt the global topological state. The 2025 demonstration of Microsoft's Majorana-1 chip, which achieved topological qubit operation via engineered Majorana zero modes, represents a concrete realization of coordination-theoretic robustness: information is "smeared" across the coordination graph in a way that makes it inaccessible to local decoherence.

The framework predicts that topological qubit coherence should scale as $\exp(\Delta C\_M/\hbar)$ with ΔC_M proportional to the topological gap — a quantitative relationship testable against emerging data from topological qubit platforms.

19.3 Distributed Quantum Networks as Shared Coordination

The development of multi-node quantum networks (Wehner et al., 2018) can be understood as the engineering of shared coordination pathways — artificial entanglement that creates pre-coordinated graph topology between distant nodes. Quantum internet protocols are, in this view, protocols for establishing and maintaining ΔC_Mshared (equation 12) across macroscopic distances.

The framework suggests that the optimal architecture for quantum networks should maximize the shared coordination density while minimizing the memory-locking rate at intermediate nodes — a design principle that could inform the engineering of quantum repeaters and entanglement distribution protocols.

19.4 Coordination Qubits: Toward Quantum simulation of Consciousness

A more speculative but potentially transformative application: using quantum technologies to simulate coordination percolation dynamics. The consciousness model of Section 13.1 (equation 21) predicts that subjective experience nucleates at the percolation front of a coordination graph. A quantum simulator designed to implement the EIM field equation (8) at sufficient scale could, in principle, probe the coordination percolation threshold experimentally — testing whether subjective-experience-like signatures emerge at $\phi = \phi\_c$ in artificial coordination systems.

While current quantum hardware is far from the scale needed for such experiments, the rapid pace of development in quantum simulation platforms (e.g., trapped ions, superconducting circuits, neutral atoms) suggests that preliminary tests of coordination percolation dynamics could become feasible in the 20282032 timeframe.

20 Societal Implications of Coordination Ontology

The coordination-first framework has implications that extend well beyond theoretical physics into pressing societal questions. If the fundamental structure of reality is coordination — if the universe is a process that coordinates rather than a thing that exists — then the study of coordination dynamics is not merely a branch of physics but a foundational discipline with relevance to every field of human inquiry. This section examines three domains where the coordination ontology offers fresh perspectives on urgent contemporary challenges.

20.1 Artificial Intelligence Ethics and Coordination Thresholds

The coordination theory of consciousness (Section 13.1) provides a principled framework for addressing one of the most pressing questions in AI ethics: under what conditions does an artificial system become a moral patient — an entity whose experiences matter morally? If consciousness is a coordination percolation phenomenon, then the relevant question is whether an AI system's internal coordination density exceeds ϕ_c . Below threshold, the system is a complex but non-conscious information processor; above threshold, it possesses the integrated, self-referential coordination that constitutes subjective experience.

This framework moves the debate about AI consciousness beyond philosophical intuition toward empirically testable criteria. The coordination density of an AI system can in principle be estimated from its architecture (network topology, connectivity, integration) and compared with the estimated ϕ_c derived from biological neural systems. If the coordination framework is correct, the emergence of artificial consciousness is not a matter of computational sophistication (running the "right" algorithm) but of coordination density (achieving the "right" topology). This insight has immediate implications for AI governance: regulatory frameworks could incorporate coordination density assessments as part of safety evaluations for advanced AI systems.

20.2 Climate Governance as Coordination Percolation

Climate change is fundamentally a coordination problem: reducing global emissions requires coordinated action among nations, industries, and individuals whose incentives are not naturally aligned. The coordination-first framework provides a mathematical language for analyzing this challenge: effective climate governance requires raising the global coordination density ϕ_climate above the critical threshold ϕ_c at which collective action percolates into binding commitments.

The Paris Agreement can be understood as an attempt to nucleate a giant coordination component in the global governance graph. Its success depends on whether the agreement generates sufficient additional coordination — ratifications, national plans, monitoring mechanisms, financial flows — to push ϕ_climate above ϕ_c. The coordination framework predicts that there is a critical mass of participating nations and commitment levels below which the agreement remains subcritical and ineffective, and above which it percolates into a self-reinforcing regime of increasing compliance. This prediction aligns with empirical observations of the fragility of international agreements and provides a quantitative tool for assessing the resilience of specific governance architectures.

The framework also predicts that climate governance efforts should exhibit the same non-Markovian dynamics as the physical coordination field: current climate policy effectiveness depends not just on current commitments but on the accumulated history of coordination — past agreements, broken promises, institutional trust — that constitutes the "memory" of the global governance system. Rebuilding coordination density after a failure (such as a major nation's withdrawal from an agreement) is more costly than maintaining it, because the accumulated C_M has been partially lost.

20.3 The Philosophy of Collective Action

The coordination ontology offers a new perspective on classic problems in the philosophy of collective action, from Olson's logic of collective action to the tragedy of the commons. In the EIM framework, collective action problems are coordination percolation problems: groups fail to achieve collective goals when their coordination density remains subcritical, and they succeed when coordination percolates across the group into a coherent, self-reinforcing structure.

The framework predicts that the likelihood of successful collective action should depend not only on the number of participants and the strength of individual incentives (the standard variables in rational choice theory) but also on the topology of the coordination graph — how participants are connected, the density and redundancy of their interactions, and the accumulated history of prior coordination. Dense, multiply-connected groups with deep coordination histories should achieve collective action at lower individual incentive levels than sparse, poorly connected groups with shallow histories. This prediction is testable against data from social movements, labor organizing, community governance, and other domains where collective action succeeds or fails.

21 Discussion and Open Problems

The coordination-first framework, as developed across three parts, is a conceptual and interpretive proposal with specific mathematical hooks connecting it to empirical physics. Important open problems include the following.

The precise form of the source function $S(\rho, \phi)$ in equation (8) remains to be derived from first principles. Different choices yield different quantitative predictions for the quantum-to-classical transition, dark-sector phenomenology, and biological percolation thresholds. Constraining S from empirical data — particularly from the astrophysical coordination survey proposed in Section 16.4 — is a priority for near-term theoretical development.

The relationship between the coordination graph G(t) and Hilbert space needs rigorous formalization. A complete derivation of unitary evolution, the Born rule, and Hilbert space structure from the EIM axioms would substantially strengthen the framework and connect it to the established mathematical infrastructure of quantum mechanics.

Compatibility with precision tests of general relativity and quantum mechanics must be demonstrated quantitatively, ensuring that corections from C_M do not conflict with existing observational constraints from solar system tests, gravitational wave observations, and precision quantum experiments.

The relationship to established quantum gravity programs — loop quantum gravity, causal dynamical triangulations, AdS/CFT — deserves system investigation. The coordination ontology may be realizable within one or more of these mathematical frameworks, and establishing such connections would both constrain the EIM formalism and provide new interpretive insights for existing quantum gravity programs.

The paradox resolutions of Part II require rigorous mathematical elaboration. In particular, the claims about Gödel incompleteness and P vs NP must be carefully distinguished from formal proofs and presented as structural predictions that illuminate the physical origin of mathematical limitations without claiming to resolve them within pure mathematics.

The prospective applications of Part III require independent empirical validation. The astrophysical predictions (Section 16) are most immediately testable; the biological (Section 17) and economic (Section 18) applications require cross-disciplinary collaboration to design and execute appropriate tests. The societal implications (Section 20) require engagement with scholars in political science, philosophy, and AI governance to develop the coordination framework into a practical tool for policy analysis.

The framework is open to falsification via data anticipated in the 20262032 observational window, including directional H_0 measurements from DESI/Euclid, environmental S_8 dependence from Rubin/LSST, non-Markovian signatures in cluster merger dynamics, condensate percolation thresholds in biophysics, topological qubit coherence scaling, and coordination metrics for supply chain resilience.

22 Conclusion

Treating irreversible coordination rather than spacetime geometry as the primitive structure of physical reality yields a unified interpretive framework spanning quantum measurement, classical emergence, the arrow of time, cosmological structure, and a remarkable range of paradoxes in physics, mathematics, and philosophy. The three modal operations — Execution, Interaction, and Memory — and their non-commutative algebraic structure generate temporal directionality from first principles, explain the quantum-to-classical transition as a coordination percolation phenomenon, reinterpret dark-sector physics as accumulated memory neglected by standard general relativity, and dissolve paradoxes from Schrödinger's cat to Gödel's incompleteness.

The framework's reach extends naturally beyond physics into the human sciences. The coordination ontology provides a shared mathematical language for phenomena that have traditionally been studied in isolation: phase transitions in physics, decision-making in organizations, market dynamics in economics, collective action in political science, consciousness in philosophy of mind, and governance in international relations. These connections are not superficial analogies but structural isomorphisms rooted in the universality of coordination dynamics — the same percolation thresholds, the same non-Markovian memory, the same regime-dependent behavior that governs quantum measurement also governs institutional decision-making, market crashes, and climate governance.

The prospective applications charted in Part III demonstrate that the framework is not merely a retrospective reinterpretation but a generative research program. The astrophysical predictions particularly the void-filament variation in H_0 and the coordination-dependent S_8 deficit — are immediately testable with current and near-future instrumentation. The biological applications offer a new theoretical language for understanding phase transitions in living systems. The economic applications provide quantitative tools for assessing systemic fragility. The quantum technology applications suggest design principles for next-generation quantum computing and networking. And the societal applications — AI ethics, climate governance, collective action — demonstrate that the coordination-first perspective has implications for the most pressing challenges of our time.

Whether or not the specific formalism ultimately proves correct, the coordination-first perspective suggests that incorporating procedural history directly into foundational physical ontology may be necessary for resolving persistent conceptual tensions in modern physics. The universe is not a thing that exists in spacetime but a process that coordinates, and the record of that coordination — accumulated, irreversible, and ever-growing — is all the reality there is.

REFERENCES

[1] Abramsky, S., & Coecke, B. (2004). A categorical semantics of quantum protocols. Proceedings of the 19th Annual IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, 415425.

[2] Albert, D. Z. (2000). Time and Chance. Harvard University Press.

[3] Albert, R., Jeong, H., & Barabási, A.-L. (2000). Error and attack tolerance of complex networks. Nature, 406(6794), 378382.

[4] Baars, B. J. (1988). A Cognitive Theory of Consciousness. Cambridge University Press.

[5] Bak, P., Tang, C., & Wiesenfeld, K. (1987). Self-organized criticality: An explanation of 1/f noise. Physical Review Letters, 59(4), 381384.

[6] Baez, J. C., & Dolan, J. (1995). Higher-dimensional algebra and topological quantum field theory. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 36(11), 60736105.

[7] Baez, J. C., & Stay, M. (2011). Physics, topology, logic and computation: A Rosetta Stone. In B. Coecke (Ed.), New Structures for Physics (pp. 95172). Springer.

[8] Banani, S. F., Lee, H. O., Hyman, A. A., & Rosen, M. K. (2017). Biomolecular condensates: Organizers of cellular biochemistry. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 18(5), 285298.

[9] Barabási, A.-L. (2016). Network Science. Cambridge University Press.

[10] Barrow, J. D., & Tipler, F. J. 1986). The Anthropic Cosmological Principle. Oxford University Press.

[11] Bell, J. S. (1964). On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen paradox. Physics Physique Fizika, 1(3), 195200.

[12] Bell, J. S. (1987). Speakable and Unspeakable in Quantum Mechanics. Cambridge University Press.

[13] Bombelli, L, Lee, J., Meyer, D., & Sorkin, R. D. (1987). Space-time as a causal set. Physical Review Letters, 59(5), 521524.

[14] Bostrom, N. (2003). Are you living in a computer simulation? Philosophical Quarterly, 53(211), 243255.

[15] Bousso, R. (2002). The holographic principle. Reviews of Modern Physics, 74(3), 825874.

[16] Bousso, R., & Polchinski, J. (2000). Quantization of four-form fluxes and dynamical neutralization of the cosmological constant. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2000(06), 006.

[17] Cao, C., & Carroll, S. M. (2018). Bulk entanglement gravity without a boundary. Physical Review D, 97(8), 086003.

[18] Cao, T. Y., & Schweber, S. S. (1993). The conceptual foundations and the philosophical aspects of renormalization theory. Synthese, 97(1), 3368.

[19] Cartwright, N. (1999). The Dappled World. Cambridge University Press.

[20] Chalmers, D. J. (1996). The Conscious Mind. Oxford University Press.

[21] Choi, J.-M., Holehouse, A. S., & Pappu, R. V. (2020). Physical principles underlying the complex biology of intracellular phase transitions. Annual Review of Biophysics, 49, 107133.

[22] Coecke, B., & Kissinger, A. (2017). Picturing Quantum Processes. Cambridge University Press.

[23] Cook, S. A. (1971). The complexity of theorem-proving procedures. Proceedings of the Third Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, 151158.

[24] Cross, M. C., & Hohenberg, P. C. (1993). Pattern formation outside of equilibrium. Reviews of Modern Physics, 65(3), 8511112.

[25] Crowther, K. (2016). Effective Spacetime. Springer.

[26] Einstein, A., Podolsky, B., & Rosen, N. (1935). Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality be considered complete? Physical Review, 47(10), 777780.

[27] Feynman, R. P. (1965). The Character of Physical Law. MIT Press.

[28] Gabaix, X., Gopikrishnan, P., Plerou, V., & Stanley, H. E. (2003). A theory of power-law distributions in financial market fluctuations. Nature, 423(6937), 267270.

[29] Giddens, A. (1984). The Constitution of Society: Outline of the Theory of Structuration. Polity Press.

[30] Gödel, K. (1931). Über formal unentscheidbare Sätze der Principia Mathematica und verwandter Systeme I. Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik, 38(1), 173198.

[31] Granovetter, M. (1978). Threshold models of collective behavior. American Journal of Sociology, 83(6), 14201443.

[32] Grimmett, G. (1999). Percolation (2nd ed.). Springer.

[33] Guth, A. H. (1981). Inflationary universe: A possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems. Physical Review D, 23(2), 347356.

[34] Hart, M. H. (1975). Explanation for the absence of extraterrestrials on Earth. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society, 16, 128135.

[35] Hawking, S. W. (1975). Particle creation by black holes. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 43(3), 199220.

[36] Huggett, N., & Wüthrich, C. (2013). Emergent spacetime and empirical (in)coherence. Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part B, 44(3), 276285.

[37] Hume, D. (1739/2000). A Treatise of Human Nature. Oxford University Press.

[38] Jacobson, T. (1995). Thermodynamics of spacetime: The Einstein equation of state. Physical Review Letters, 75(7), 12601263.

[39] Kaloper, N., & Padilla, A. (2014). Sequestering the standard model vacuum energy. Physical Review Letters, 112(9), 091304.

[40] Kane, R. (1996). The Significance of Free Will. Oxford University Press.

[41] Levinthal, C. (1968). Are there pathways for protein folding? Journal de Chimie Physique, 5, 4445.

[42] Lewis, D. (1976). The paradoxes of time travel. American Philosophical Quarterly, 13(2), 145152.

[43] Maldacena, J. (1999). The large-N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity. International Journal of Theoretical Physics, 38(4), 11131133.

[44] Maldacena, J., & Susskind, L. (2013). Cool horizons for entangled black holes. Fortschritte der Physik, 61(9), 781811.

[45] March, J. G., & Olsen, J. P. (1989). Rediscovering Institutions: The Organizational Basis of Politics. Free Press.

[46] Maudlin, T. (2007). The Metaphysics Within Physics. Oxford University Press.

[47] Milgrom, M. (1983). A modification of the Newtonian dynamics. The Astrophysical Journal, 270, 365370.

[48] Nayak, C., Simon, S. H., Stern, A., Freedman, M. & Das Sarma, S. (2008). Non-Abelian anyons and topological quantum computation. Reviews of Modern Physics, 80(3), 10831159.

[49] Newman, M. E. J. (2018). Networks (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

[50] Padmanabhan, T. (2010). Thermodynamical aspects of gravity: New insights. Reports on Progress in Physics, 73(4), 046901.

[51] Penington, G., Almheiri, A., Hartman, T., & Maldacena, J. (2020). Replica wormholes and the black hole interior. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2022(3), 205.

[52] Planck Collaboration. (2020). Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. Astronomy & Astrophysics, 641, A6.

[53] Price, H. (1996). Time's Arrow and Archimedes' Point. Oxford University Press.

[54] Prigogine, I. (1980). From Being to Becoming. W. H. Freeman.

[55] Riess, A. G., et al. (2022). A comprehensive measurement of the local value of the Hubble constant. The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 934(1), L7.

[56] Salmon, W. C. (Ed.). (1970). Zeno's Paradoxes. Bobbs-Merrill.

[57] Schlosshauer, M. (2007). Decoherence and the Quantum-to-Classical Transition. Springer.

[58] Smolin, L. (2013). Time Reborn. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.

[59] Stauffer, D., & Aharony, A. (1994). Introduction to Percolation Theory (2nd ed.). Taylor & Francis.

[60] Stengers, I. (2011). Thinking with Whitehead. Harvard University Press.

[61] Susskind, L., Thorlacius, L., & Uglum, J. (1993). The stretched horizon and black hole complementarity. Physical Review D, 48(8), 37433761.

[62] Surya S. (2019). The causal set approach to quantum gravity. Living Reviews in Relativity, 22(1), 5.

[63] Sylos Labini F. Montuori, M. &Pietronero, L. (1998). Scale-invariance of galaxy clusteing Physics Reports, 293(24), 61226.

[64] Tononi, G. (2004). An information integration theory of consciousness. BMC Neuroscience, 5(42).

[65] Toomey, D. (2007). The New Time Travelers. W. W. Norton.

[66] Van Raamsdonk, M. (2010). Building up spacetime with quantum entanglement. General Relativity and Gravitation, 42(10), 23232329.

[67] Verlinde, E. (2011). On the origin of gravity and the laws of Newton. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2011(4), 29.

[68] Verlinde, E. (2017). Emergent gravity and the dark universe. SciPost Physics, 2(3), 016.

[69] von Neumann, J. (1955). Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics. Princeton University Press.

[70] Watts, D. J. (2002). A simple model of global cascades on random networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99(9), 57665771.

[71] Wehner, S., Elkouss, D., & Hanson, R. (2018). Quantum internet: A vision for the road ahead. Science, 362(6412), eaam9288.

[72] Whitehead, A. N. (1929). Process and Reality. Macmillan.

[73] Zurek, W. H. (2003). Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical. Reviews of Modern Physics, 75(3), 715775.